

**FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE  
FUNDING OPPORTUNITY ANNOUNCEMENT**



**U. S. Department of Energy  
Office of Science  
Office of Biological and Environmental Research**

**Regional and Global Climate Modeling and Integrated Assessment  
Research: An Integration Framework for Multi-Model, U.S.  
Regional Climate Evaluation that Incorporates Local Human  
Influences for Research at the Energy-Water-Land Nexus**

**Funding Opportunity Number: DE-FOA-0001531  
Announcement Type: Amendment  
CFDA Number: 81.049**

<b>Issue Date:</b>	<b>02/05/2016</b>
<b>Pre-Application Due Date:</b>	<b>03/07/2016 at 5 PM Eastern Time A Pre-Application is required</b>
<b>Encourage/Discourage Date:</b>	<b>03/14/2016 at 5 PM Eastern Time</b>
<b>Application Due Date:</b>	<b>04/18/2016 at 11:59 PM Eastern Time</b>

**Amendment has been created to clarify participation requirements for RGCM and IAR meetings on page 7 and to correct the page limit for preapplications on page 14.**

**Table of Contents**

UPDATES AND REMINDERS..... I

SECTION I – FUNDING OPPORTUNITY DESCRIPTION..... 1

SECTION II – AWARD INFORMATION.....9

    A. TYPE OF AWARD INSTRUMENT.....9

    B. ESTIMATED FUNDING .....9

    C. MAXIMUM AND MINIMUM AWARD SIZE.....10

    D. EXPECTED NUMBER OF AWARDS.....10

    E. ANTICIPATED AWARD SIZE .....10

    F. PERIOD OF PERFORMANCE.....10

    G. TYPE OF APPLICATION .....10

    H. VALUE/FUNDING FOR DOE/NNSA NATIONAL LABORATORY CONTRACTORS  
    AND NON-DOE/NNSA FFRDC CONTRACTORS.....10

    I. RESPONSIBILITY .....11

SECTION III – ELIGIBILITY INFORMATION .....12

    A. ELIGIBLE APPLICANTS .....12

    B. COST SHARING.....12

    C. ELIGIBLE INDIVIDUALS.....12

SECTION IV – APPLICATION AND SUBMISSION INFORMATION .....13

    A. ADDRESS TO REQUEST APPLICATION PACKAGE.....13

    B. LETTER OF INTENT AND PRE-APPLICATION.....13

    C. CONTENT AND APPLICATION FORMS.....17

    D. SUBMISSIONS FROM SUCCESSFUL APPLICANTS.....31

    E. SUBMISSION DATES AND TIMES .....31

    F. INTERGOVERNMENTAL REVIEW.....32

    G. FUNDING RESTRICTIONS .....33

    H. OTHER SUBMISSION AND REGISTRATION REQUIREMENTS .....33

SECTION V - APPLICATION REVIEW INFORMATION.....40

    A. CRITERIA .....40

    B. REVIEW AND SELECTION PROCESS .....41

    C. ANTICIPATED NOTICE OF SELECTION AND AWARD DATES .....42

SECTION VI - AWARD ADMINISTRATION INFORMATION .....43

    A. AWARD NOTICES.....43

B. ADMINISTRATIVE AND NATIONAL POLICY REQUIREMENTS .....	43
C. REPORTING .....	46
SECTION VII - QUESTIONS/AGENCY CONTACTS.....	47
A. QUESTIONS .....	47
B. AGENCY CONTACTS .....	47
SECTION VIII - OTHER INFORMATION .....	48
A. MODIFICATIONS .....	48
B. GOVERNMENT RIGHT TO REJECT OR NEGOTIATE.....	48
C. COMMITMENT OF PUBLIC FUNDS.....	48
D. PROPRIETARY APPLICATION INFORMATION .....	48
E. EVALUATION AND ADMINISTRATION BY NON-FEDERAL PERSONNEL .....	49
F. INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY DEVELOPED UNDER THIS PROGRAM.....	49
G. NOTICE OF RIGHT TO REQUEST PATENT WAIVER.....	49
H. NOTICE REGARDING ELIGIBLE/INELIGIBLE ACTIVITIES .....	49
I. AVAILABILITY OF FUNDS .....	50
SECTION IX - APPENDICES/REFERENCE MATERIAL .....	51

## **UPDATES AND REMINDERS**

### REGULATIONS

This FOA and any awards made under it are controlled by 2 CFR 200, the Uniform Administrative Requirements, Cost Principles, and Audit Requirements for Federal Awards, as modified by 2 CFR 910, the Department of Energy Financial Assistance Rules, and 10 CFR 605, the Office of Science Financial Assistance Program.

### DATA MANAGEMENT PLAN

The Office of Science has published a new Statement on Digital Data Management, published at <http://science.energy.gov/funding-opportunities/digital-data-management/>, which governs applications submitted under this FOA, and is detailed in Part IV of this FOA.

### ACKNOWLEDGMENT OF FEDERAL SUPPORT

The Office of Science published guidance about how its support should be acknowledged at <http://science.energy.gov/funding-opportunities/acknowledgements/>.

### REPORTING

The Office of Science has implemented the federal-wide Research Performance Progress Report (RPPR) through the Portfolio Analysis and Management System (PAMS). The common RPPR format is described at <http://www.nsf.gov/bfa/dias/policy/rppr/>. Progress Reports are generally due 90 days before the end of each budget period. The Principal Investigator (PI) will receive an automated email from PAMS (<PAMS.Autoreply@science.doe.gov>) thirty days prior to the progress report due date. Some information will be prepopulated. Additional details and changes will be contained in the Reporting Requirements Checklist attached to the Assistance Agreement.

### RECOMMENDATION

The Office of Science encourages you to register in all systems as soon as possible. You are also encouraged to submit letters of intent, pre-applications, and applications well before the deadline.

## **Section I – FUNDING OPPORTUNITY DESCRIPTION**

### **GENERAL INQUIRIES ABOUT THIS FOA SHOULD BE DIRECTED TO:**

#### **Technical/Scientific Program Contact:**

Dr. Renu Joseph  
301-903-9237  
[Renu.Joseph@science.doe.gov](mailto:Renu.Joseph@science.doe.gov)

Mr. Robert Vallario  
301-903-5758  
[Bob.Vallario@science.doe.gov](mailto:Bob.Vallario@science.doe.gov)

### **STATUTORY AUTHORITY**

Public Law 95-91, US Department of Energy Organization Act  
Public Law 109-58, Energy Policy Act of 2005

### **APPLICABLE REGULATIONS**

Uniform Administrative Requirements, Cost Principles, and Audit Requirements for Federal Awards, codified at 2 CFR 200  
U.S. Department of Energy Financial Assistance Rules, codified at 2 CFR 910  
U.S. Department of Energy, Office of Science Financial Assistance Program Rule, codified at 10 CFR 605

### **SUMMARY**

The Climate and Environmental Sciences Division (CESD) of the U.S. Department of Energy's Office of Biological and Environmental Research (BER) supports a broad range of climate and earth system observational, analysis, and modeling research. Two of the activities within the modeling component of the Division, i.e., the Regional and Global Climate Modeling (RGCM) Activity and the Integrated Assessment Research (IAR) Activity, are collaborating in issuing this Funding Opportunity Announcement. As part of CESD, RGCM seeks to enhance the predictive understanding and modeling of climate variability and change by advancing capabilities to design, evaluate, diagnose, and analyze global and regional earth system model simulations informed by observations. The use of model simulations in combination with observations enables a deeper understanding of climate variability and change. RGCM focuses on analysis of regions that exhibit a significant response to larger scale climate change; evaluating robust methods to obtain higher spatial resolution; and diagnosing and analyzing state-of-the-science for coupled climate and Earth system models to understand climate variability and change at regional and global scales. These analyses often take the form of sensitivity studies that focus on various aspects of the climate system, including detection and attribution, developing enhanced modeling and analytics capabilities for decadal predictability, incorporating uncertainty characterization, and conducting analyses of feedbacks within the climate system.

The Integrated Assessment Research (IAR) Activity seeks to advance the understanding of the interdependence of human activities with regional and global climate. As part of its priorities, IAR invests in the development of multi-sector, multi-scale models and multi-model frameworks, that in turn can be used to explore the complex dynamics of human and natural systems on a variety of time scales that, in turn, act on spatially on global, regional, and local scales. Topics of interest range from the human influences on anthropogenic emissions and carbon sources and sinks to improved understanding of climate and multi-stressor impacts, including the co-evolution of the integrated human-Earth system over time. Uncertainty characterization, climate feedbacks, and evaluating the pivotal role of energy systems in a broader context for both driving and responding to changes, are areas of crosscutting emphasis illustrated best by the IAR's interests in modeling at the energy-water-land nexus.

RGCM and IAR activities are complementary. RGCM focuses mainly on in-depth exploration of the climate system and climate prediction, thereby informing the types of analyses that are possible with IAR models and frameworks. Complementing the RGCM interests in projecting temporal and spatial variability of climate change, including future extreme phenomena, IAR supports research on human moderated/managed systems, as well as dynamic interactions between human and natural systems, and ultimately the potential feedbacks of interest to "deep dive" climate simulations. Both RGCM and IAR have interest in the interdependence of the predictability of water availability and extremes, application of uncertainty quantification methodologies, and studying how climate change and human activities influence the energy-water-land nexus.

More information on BER's climate modeling activities can be found at:

<http://www.climate modeling.science.energy.gov/> and in the strategic plan for Climate and Environmental Sciences Division:

<http://science.energy.gov/~media/ber/pdf/CESDStratPlan-2012.pdf> ). BER encourages potential applicants to review the documents and related websites in order to familiarize themselves with the RGCM and IAR activities and their strategic goals. Additionally, relevant material is noted as follows:

[http://science.energy.gov/~media/ber/pdf/workshop%20reports/Water\\_Cycle\\_Report\\_HR.pdf](http://science.energy.gov/~media/ber/pdf/workshop%20reports/Water_Cycle_Report_HR.pdf)

[http://science.energy.gov/~media/ber/pdf/ia\\_workshop\\_low\\_res\\_06\\_25\\_09.pdf](http://science.energy.gov/~media/ber/pdf/ia_workshop_low_res_06_25_09.pdf)

<http://energy.gov/downloads/water-energy-nexus-challenges-and-opportunities>

[http://www.climate modeling.science.energy.gov/fact-sheets?ff0=field\\_funding\\_program%3A3](http://www.climate modeling.science.energy.gov/fact-sheets?ff0=field_funding_program%3A3)

[http://www.climate modeling.science.energy.gov/fact-sheets?ff0=field\\_funding\\_program:2](http://www.climate modeling.science.energy.gov/fact-sheets?ff0=field_funding_program:2)

This FOA is intended to focus on a balance of evolutionary research and high risk / high payoff research. While evolutionary research typically advances the science based on existing data, methodologies, and modeling tools, topics that fit the category of high risk, high pay-off research are expected to explore innovative new directions based on concepts not typically incorporated in existing research and/or tools that are not routinely available or applied. As part of this FOA, proposals that are considered to be high risk and high payoff should clearly describe how the proposed ideas have the potential to lead to breakthroughs in modeling of climate change and climate-human interdependencies that involve e.g. the energy-water-land nexus, at global and regional scales. Proposals are allowed and encouraged to have components that bridge evolutionary research with high risk/high payoff research.

The RGCM component for this FOA (representing approximately 80% of the available funding allocated to this FOA) will support novel concepts that can lead to the development of an evaluation system for high-resolution climate projections with a major, if not exclusive, focus on the contiguous United States. More specifically, proposed efforts should concentrate on the development, testing, and initial application of a scientifically rigorous, metrics-based evaluation system for the intercomparison of capabilities for a broad range of regional and local climate models and modeling methods. Parameters of particular interest include those that are necessary for modeling the integrated regional water cycle and the energy-water-land nexus. High-resolution models, variable resolution models, statistical downscaling, dynamical downscaling, and pattern scaling are examples of techniques that should be considered. While this FOA does not discourage new research designed to produce fundamentally new modeling methods and frameworks, new and relevant insights using existing and/or modified models and modeling architectures for such development is encouraged. It is therefore a priority for RGCM to examine, extensively and systematically, a broad range of capabilities and model outputs that do exist, and to assess which have greatest utility for specific, priority DOE information needs that can be adapted to and assimilated into advanced next-generation modeling and predictive capabilities.

The IAR component for this FOA (representing approximately 10% of the allocated funding dedicated to this FOA), will support evaluation of evidence, model enhancements, and methods that can quantify the role that localized human activities can have on weather patterns embedded within a regionally changing climate. Proposed efforts should attempt to elucidate whether sufficient evidence exists such that the more classical methods of high resolution or downscaling techniques applied to weather, climate, and human activities may require adjustments or some modifications based on the suite of available analyses of near-field effects of human activities on local climates and/or weather patterns. For example, changes to reservoir and land management practices can have considerable impact on surface to atmosphere hydrologic fluxes and temperature. A second example is that some evidence suggests that various forms of emissions, such as brown and black carbon, may impact regional precipitation patterns and more. A third example is that research involving megacities and heat islands suggests that possible alterations in the progression of storm fronts and precipitation patterns can be influenced by the fine resolution detail of urban heat, water, and radiation budgets. A growing body of studies into renewable energy resources (biofuels, wind power, solar power, and hydro), especially at very large scales, suggests potential localized effects as well. These aforementioned examples are not intended to guide the selection of proposal topics; they are instead documented herein to illustrate possibilities.

A combined component, with costs shared by RGCM and IAR (representing in aggregate approximately 10% of the budget allocated to this FOA) is to explore integrative modeling frameworks that can draw from the priorities of both the RGCM and IAR activities, e.g., that combine research on multi-model evaluation systems and the human influences on regional climates. At this early stage, and with the eventual goal of linking RGCM activities more closely with IAR activities in a bi-directional flow of information, proposed research should target an assessment and demonstration of the need and possible future configuration of an evolving coupled capability.

## SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION

The scientific community is under tremendous pressure to produce fine-scale climate information suitable for understanding potential regional- and local-scale impacts, adaptations, and vulnerabilities. For example, there is considerable pressure to evaluate the rapidly changing landscape surrounding the interactions and interdependencies of energy, water, and land systems. Climate stressors are a major potential component, although not the only determinant of rapid and significant changes to these connected systems. While there are many quantitative techniques currently available and applied to high-resolution climate analysis, such techniques are often unique to specific case studies and not always generic across modeling centers and research groups. This appears especially the case for simulation and analysis of climate parameters of particular interest to the DOE for the energy-water-land nexus, including the improved climate downscaling projections for use within Integrated Assessment Research and analytic needs at the energy-water-land nexus where the coupled systems are influenced strongly by droughts, floods, heat waves, and other forms of extreme events. Not only is there need to better represent the statistical distribution of the duration of discrete events, but a need also exists to understand how cascading impacts that can be derived from simultaneous types of intense extreme events (e.g., simultaneous drought and heat) or a series of rapidly repeating events (e.g., rainstorms) over a short period of time. Such coinciding or more persistent, repetitive extremes often manifest in significant regional impacts that can demand complex adaptive responses, both from natural and human systems. To date, a comprehensive metrics-based inter-comparison among regional- and local-scale climate modeling methodologies has yet to be undertaken in any meaningful way to adequately describe either slowly changing or extreme events. Consequently, there is significant need to advance scientifically rigorous, metric-based inter-comparisons of models and methods, including multi-model techniques. Such evaluation methods should be scientifically defensible, deploy advanced statistical and mathematical approaches, and build substantially from observational records where appropriate. The methods should seek to provide new research that can significantly advance beyond existing climate modeling and analysis techniques, i.e., with the potential that successes can be utilized by the next generation of climate projections and impact studies.

Complicating matters, most research efforts to-date that focus on sub-grid climate processes have utilized statistical or dynamical downscaling methodologies, i.e., with the assumption that local, small-scale behaviors are driven by larger scale phenomena that are resolved by incorporating exogenous feature information. With this assumption, however, assessment methods are unable to evaluate if and how small-scale systems dynamics, especially surrounding interactions of weather, climate, and human activities, may lead to different, small-scale behaviors and outcomes. Of additional interest is whether, and in what form and to what extent, such small-scale dynamics might lead to different large-scale climate and societal impacts and change. The role of managed as well as human-influenced activities is relevant for this analysis. Consequently, research is needed to determine how small-scale systems exhibit interdependencies and dynamics at these smaller scales and what the implications are for feedbacks at larger scales, i.e., within a modeling framework. There are challenges in downscaling the climate projections; simulating pathways for the human systems impacts, responses, and overall evolution (considering demographics, regional economics, technologies, and other factors in addition to climate); and feedbacks of the human influences on small-scale

climate and weather processes. Such analyses are well suited to modeling experiments based on goals that represent the programmatic interests of both IAR and RGCM. Given a priori arguments, an overarching goal for this particular challenge is to examine the scientific basis, progress, and need for analyzing impacts and feedbacks (that can exhibit a multi-scale character) within a novel modeling framework and with case study demonstration(s).

In summary, this funding opportunity announcement has three major components, and underlying science themes:

- 1) *develop and test a metrics-driven, multi-model evaluation system* for comparing various global high resolution and variable resolution climate models; dynamical, statistical, and pattern scaling methods, and other analytic methods revealing comparative advantages for different purposes and parameters of interest, especially for understanding of the integrated regional water cycle within the contiguous United States;
- 2) *evaluate the scientific basis and potential paths forward for addressing near-field effects of human influences on microclimates* and develop and test appropriate scenario-driven modeling and analytic methods; and
- 3) *develop integrative modeling frameworks that can combine the results of items 1) and 2), above*, for improved regional and local climate prediction, including feedbacks, as well as Integrated Assessment and impact, adaptation, and vulnerability analyses at the energy-water-land nexus.

With some small allowable variation, item 1) will be supported at 80%, item 2) at 10%, and item 3) at 10% of the overall project total.

With respect to *high resolution climate modeling capabilities*, item 1) above, applicants should consider the need for:

- a. a framework for drawing from a hierarchy of modeling techniques to provide high resolution climate information at local and regional scales;
- b. unique challenges/opportunities posed by modeling needs and capabilities at regional versus local scales, for example very high resolution;
- c. implications of creating multi-model ensembles of simulations to assess the uniqueness of each technique (pros and cons) in a systematic and methodological way. (Understanding the reasons for improved performance in a given region and given variable will shed insight into the basic science and dynamics of the processes involved.);
- d. scientifically rigorous and defensible metrics and guidelines for application across a heterogeneous mix of models, methods, and forms of characterized uncertainty;

With respect to *human influences on climate on local to regional scales*, item 2) above, applicants should consider the need for:

- a. whether and where there may be significant effects for the contiguous U.S. in terms of geographies, temporal domains, and potential magnitudes of consequences/feedbacks
- b. quantitative sensitivity and testing/screening for regional influences based on scenario driven analyses of potential change derived from Integrated Assessment models and/or other scientifically defensible extrapolations
- c. a general protocol for synthesizing the discrete phenomenological elements into a collective approach
- d. a critical, scientific evaluation of the overall efficacy of such an approach

In the interest of *coalescing capabilities around items 1) and 2)*, above, applicants should consider:

- a. integrative methods, required toolsets, and the scientific rationale and basis, for combining results from items 1) and 2), above, outlining the basis and structure for a coupled model/analytic experiment;
- b. the potential merits of “soft” coupling (information exchange that occurs outside of a direct software connection among models), as well as “hard” coupling techniques and the potential evolution from one to the other as appropriate or required over time;
- c. one or more targeted, case studies that exercise and demonstrate the integrative framework on topics related to the integrated regional water cycle and the energy-water-land nexus with components that include
  - both broad and narrow spatial scales (and hierarchical nesting of scales) in case study formulation, as well as temporal scales that consider the combination of changes to mean states as well as the strongly influential role of disruptive effects brought about by repeat and/or intensifying extremes,
  - numerical experiments to determine which uncertainties at larger scales govern most of the uncertainties at smaller scales, and vice versa, and whether given model hierarchies and coupling strategies are adequate for the applications in question, particularly with respect to its fidelity for the merging of natural and human systems and model input/output matching, and
  - hindcast experiments, especially for conditions that depart significantly from climatological norms, at a minimum for the high resolution modeling capabilities but ideally for the integrative modeling framework that combines items 1) and 2) above;
- d. the need for model controls and the estimation of uncertainties, bounding expectations for predictability, especially across spatial and temporal scales, and take-away messages on the strengthening of foundations and the implications for risk-based decision-making,
- e. a flexible integrative modeling framework and study design that can lead to a methodology for the creation of high resolution climate information for other science questions and regions, for example surrounding the implications of prolonged droughts and/or extreme events in current and future climate scenarios

As noted in Section IV.C of this FOA, a Data Management Plan is a required element for all applications. **Data Management plans should be no longer than 2 pages, and must be included as an appendix** (i.e., not counted in the page limitation) as described in Section IV.

As noted in Section IV.C of this FOA, a **Software Productivity and Sustainability Plan** is a required element for all applications. **Software Productivity and Sustainability plans should be no longer than 2 pages, and must be included as an appendix** (i.e., not counted in the page limitation) as described in Section IV.

As described under Merit Review, applicants will also be evaluated on past performance from previously funded related research. **Previously funded applicants will therefore be required to submit as an Appendix to the Project Narrative section a description of past research funded by RGCM or IAR (or Earth System Modeling), and notable accomplishments of the research.** Also relevant to this section are collaborative or programmatic contributions of benefit to these activities. The Appendix should be titled “Progress from DOE-funded research” and should be appended immediately to the end of the Project Narrative. This section should be no longer than 4 pages and will not count towards the 25 page limit of the Project Narrative.

#### **Participation in RGCM and IAR Meetings:**

To ensure that the program meets the needs of the research community and the specific needs of the DOE CESD, successful applicants are expected to attend one to two annual programmatic meetings per year, including at least one project team meeting, and possibly one additional modeling meeting that spans the interest of ESM, RGCM, and IAR. Costs for participation in these meetings should be included in the budget. **Estimated costs should be based on two one-week meetings in Washington, DC, each year.**

#### **Collaboration**

DOE will consider funding multi-institutional teams under this FOA, where collaborative projects clearly address a problem that cannot be addressed by a single investigator. The collaboration must clearly designate a lead organization, and must include a project management plan in the project narrative that clearly indicates the role of each organization. DOE expects that multi-institutional teams will have a clear lead with overall responsibility for the project and that teams will be strongly coordinated; thus, the intent is to fund teams as a single project, where possible. Multi-institutional teams led by eligible institutions should submit a single application from the lead organization that includes sub-contracts for any funded collaborators to this FOA, unless the team contains collaborators from other Federal agencies or from DOE National Laboratories; **this is the only scenario for which multiple collaborating applications as described in Section IV may be submitted.**

DOE National Laboratories may not serve as the lead organization of any proposal in response to this FOA. In addition, researchers from DOE National Laboratories may not serve as lead PI in response to this FOA, but may serve as funded collaborators using a different funding instrument; see next section. Collaborations with DOE National Laboratories should be limited to filling critical voids in expertise and represent less than 40 percent of the overall effort.

More information on submitting collaborative applications is in Section IV.

Collaborative applications submitted from different institutions must clearly indicate they are part of a collaborative project/group. Every partner institution must submit an application through its own sponsored research office. Each collaborative group can have only one lead institution. Each application within the collaborative group, including the narrative and all required appendices and attachments, must be identical with the following exceptions:

- Each application must contain a correct SF-424 (R&R) cover page for the submitting institution only.
- Each application must contain a unique budget corresponding to the expenditures for that application's submitting institution only.
- Each application must contain a unique budget justification corresponding to the expenditures for that application's submitting institution only.

## Section II – AWARD INFORMATION

### **A. TYPE OF AWARD INSTRUMENT**

DOE anticipates awarding cooperative agreements under this FOA.

### **B. ESTIMATED FUNDING**

DOE will consider funding multi-institution teams under this FOA. Multi-institutional teams should submit a single application from the lead organization that includes sub-contracts for any funded collaborators to this FOA, unless the team contains collaborators from DOE National Laboratories or non-DOE/NNSA Federal agencies. Researchers from DOE National Laboratories or other Federal agencies that are collaborators on multi-institutional teams must submit application packages to this FOA that include the identical contents of the application of the lead institution and the collaborator's budget information. The DOE National Laboratories can request up to totally 40% of the total funding of a single award.

It is anticipated that up to \$4,000,000/year for three years will be available starting with award(s) in Fiscal Year 2016, contingent on the availability of appropriated funds. This funding will be for 1-2 awards with up to 40% of the funding of each award available for collaborations with DOE Laboratories. Proposals may request project support for up to three years. Out-year support is contingent on the availability of funds and on the progress of research and programmatic needs. Multi-institutional proposals are particularly encouraged. Funding for this research will come from the RGCM and IAR activities.

DOE reserves the right to fund, in whole or in part, any, all, or none of the proposals submitted. Contingent on satisfactory peer review, the approximate funding distribution of specific areas outlined elsewhere in this FOA are indicated below:

- 1) Develop and test a metrics-driven, multi-model evaluation system for comparing various global high resolution and variable resolution climate models; dynamical, statistical, and pattern scaling methods, and other analytic methods revealing comparative advantages for different purposes and parameters of interest, especially for understanding of the integrated regional water cycle within the contiguous United States **(80%)**.
- 2) Evaluate the scientific basis and potential paths forward for addressing near-field effects of human influences on microclimates and develop and test appropriate scenario-driven modeling and analytic methods **(10%)**.
- 3) Develop integrative modeling frameworks that can combine the results of items 1) and 2), above, for improved regional and local climate prediction, including feedbacks, as well as Integrated Assessment and impact, adaptation, and vulnerability analyses at the energy-water-land nexus **(10%)**.

### **C. MAXIMUM AND MINIMUM AWARD SIZE**

The award size will depend on the number of meritorious applications and the availability of appropriated funds.

#### **Ceiling**

\$2,000,000 per year

#### **Floor**

\$1,500,000 per year

### **D. EXPECTED NUMBER OF AWARDS**

Approximately 2 awards are expected.

The exact number of awards will depend on the number of meritorious applications and the availability of appropriated funds.

### **E. ANTICIPATED AWARD SIZE**

It is anticipated that award sizes may range from \$1,500,000 per year to \$2,000,000 per year.

The award size will depend on the number of meritorious applications and the availability of appropriated funds.

### **F. PERIOD OF PERFORMANCE**

Continuation funding (funding for the second and subsequent budget periods) is contingent on: (1) availability of funds appropriated by Congress and future year budget authority; (2) progress towards meeting the objectives of the approved application; (3) submission of required reports; and (4) compliance with the terms and conditions of the award.

### **G. TYPE OF APPLICATION**

DOE will accept only **new** applications under this FOA.

### **H. VALUE/FUNDING FOR DOE/NNSA NATIONAL LABORATORY CONTRACTORS AND NON-DOE/NNSA FFRDC CONTRACTORS**

For grant awards, the value of, and funding for, a DOE/NNSA National Laboratory contractor, a non-DOE/NNSA FFRDC contractor, or another Federal agency's portion of the work will not be included in the award to the successful applicant. DOE will fund a DOE/NNSA National Laboratory contractor through the DOE field work authorization system or other appropriate process and will fund non-DOE/NNSA FFRDC contractors and other Federal agencies through an interagency agreement in accordance with the Economy Act, 31 U.S.C. 1535, or other statutory authority.

## **I. RESPONSIBILITY**

The successful prime applicant/awardee (lead organization) will be the responsible authority regarding the settlement and satisfaction of all contractual and administrative issues, including but not limited to, disputes and claims arising out of any agreement between the applicant and any team member, and/or subawardee.

If an award is made to a DOE/NNSA National Laboratory, all Disputes and Claims will be resolved in accordance with the terms and conditions of the DOE/NNSA National Laboratory's M&O contract, as applicable, in consultation between DOE and the prime awardee.

If an award is made to another Federal agency or its FFRDC contractor, all Disputes and Claims will be resolved in accordance with the terms and conditions of the interagency agreement in consultation between DOE and the prime awardee.

## **Section III – ELIGIBILITY INFORMATION**

### **A. ELIGIBLE APPLICANTS**

All types of applicants are eligible to apply, except Federally Funded Research and Development Center (FFRDC) Contractors, and nonprofit organizations described in section 501(c)(4) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 that engaged in lobbying activities after December 31, 1995.

DOE National Laboratories and other Federal agencies are not eligible to receive financial assistance awards under this FOA, but they may submit collaborating applications in accordance with the instructions in Section IV, Part C, below. Collaborations with DOE National Laboratories should be limited to filling critical voids in expertise and represent less than 40% of the overall effort. DOE National Laboratories whose collaborating applications are considered for funding may be invited to submit a proposal in the Office of Science's PAMS website for the receipt of a Field Work Authorization. Other Federal agencies whose collaborating applications are considered for funding may be invited to submit a proposal in the Office of Science's PAMS website for the receipt of an Interagency Award.

Applicants that are not domestic organizations should be advised that:

- Individual applicants are unlikely to possess the skills, abilities, and resources to successfully accomplish the objectives of this FOA. Individual applicants are encouraged to address this concern in their applications and to demonstrate how they will accomplish the objectives of this FOA.
- Non-domestic applicants are advised that successful applications from non-domestic applicants include a detailed demonstration of how the applicant possesses skills, resources, and abilities that do not exist among potential domestic applicants.

### **B. COST SHARING**

Cost sharing is not required.

### **C. ELIGIBLE INDIVIDUALS**

Individuals with the skills, knowledge, and resources necessary to carry out the proposed research as a Program Director/Principal Investigator are invited to work with their organizations to develop an application for assistance. Individuals from underrepresented groups as well as individuals with disabilities are always encouraged to apply for assistance.

**Section IV – APPLICATION AND SUBMISSION INFORMATION**

**A. ADDRESS TO REQUEST APPLICATION PACKAGE**

Application forms and instructions are available at grants.gov. To access these materials, go to <http://www.grants.gov>, select “Apply for Grants”, and then select “Download Application Package.” Enter the CFDA number (81.049) and/or the funding opportunity number (DE-FOA-0001531) shown on the cover of this FOA and then follow the prompts to download the application package.

Applications submitted through [www.FedConnect.net](http://www.FedConnect.net) will not be accepted.

**B. LETTER OF INTENT AND PRE-APPLICATION**

**1. Letter of Intent**

A Letter of Intent is not required.

**2. Pre-application**

PRE-APPLICATION DUE DATE

03/07/2016

ENCOURAGE/DISCOURAGE DATE

03/14/2016

A pre-application is required and **must be submitted by 03/07/2016** at 5 PM Eastern Time. Pre-applications will be reviewed for responsiveness of the proposed work to the research topics identified in this FOA. DOE will send a response by email to each applicant encouraging or discouraging the submission of a full application by 03/14/2016. Applicants who have not received a response regarding the status of their preapplication by this date are responsible for contacting the program to confirm this status

**Only those applicants that receive notification from DOE encouraging a full application may submit full applications.** No other full applications will be considered.

The pre-application attachment should include, at the top of the first page, the following information:

Title of Preapplication  
Principal Investigator Name, Job Title  
Institution  
PI Phone Number, PI Email Address  
Funding Opportunity Announcement Number: DE-FOA-0001531

This information should be followed by a clear and concise description of the objectives and technical approach of the proposed research. The preapplication may not exceed four pages, with a minimum text font size of 11 point and margins no smaller than one inch on all sides. Figures and references, if included, must fit within the four-page limit.

The pre-application must also include a list of the names and institutional affiliations of all participating investigators, including collaborators and consultants on the proposed project. For each funded investigator, provide a list of collaborative co-investigators including co-authors of the past 48 months, co-editors of the past 24 months, graduate and postdoctoral advisors/advisees, and close associations. The list of investigators and collaborators should be added after the last page in the preapplication file and will not count against the four-page limit for the preapplication

Those pre-applications that are encouraged will be used to help the Office of Science begin planning for the full application peer review process. The intent of the Office of Science in discouraging submission of certain full applications is to save the time and effort of applicants in preparing and submitting full applications not responsive to this funding opportunity announcement.

The Principal Investigator will be automatically notified when the pre-application is encouraged or discouraged. The DOE Office of Science Portfolio Analysis and Management System (PAMS) will send an email to the Principal Investigator from [PAMS.Autoreply@science.doe.gov](mailto:PAMS.Autoreply@science.doe.gov), and the status of the pre-application will be updated at the PAMS website <https://pamspublic.science.energy.gov/>. Notifications are sent as soon as the decisions to encourage or discourage are finalized.

It is important that the pre-application be a single file with extension .pdf, .docx, or .doc. The filename should not exceed 50 characters. The pre-application must be submitted electronically through the DOE Office of Science Portfolio Analysis and Management System (PAMS) website <https://pamspublic.science.energy.gov/>. The Principal Investigator and anyone submitting on behalf of the Principal Investigator must register for an account in PAMS before it will be possible to submit a pre-application. All PIs and those submitting pre-applications on behalf of PIs are encouraged to establish PAMS accounts as soon as possible to avoid submission delays.

You may use the Internet Explorer, Firefox, Google Chrome, or Safari browsers to access PAMS.

Registering to PAMS is a two-step process; once you create an individual account, you must associate yourself with (“register to”) your institution. Detailed steps are listed below.

### **Create PAMS Account:**

To register, click the “Create New PAMS Account” link on the website

<https://pamspublic.science.energy.gov/>.

- Click the “No, I have never had an account” link and then the “Create Account” button.
- You will be prompted to enter your name and email address, create a username and password, and select a security question and answer. Once you have done this, click the “Save and Continue” button.
- On the next page, enter the required information (at least one phone number and your mailing address) and any optional information you wish to provide (e.g., FAX number, website, mailstop code, additional email addresses or phone numbers, Division/Department). Click the “Create Account” button.
- Read the user agreement and click the “Accept” button to indicate that you understand your responsibilities and agree to comply with the rules of behavior for PAMS.

PAMS will take you to the “Having Trouble Logging In?” page. (If you have been an Office of Science merit reviewer or if you have previously submitted an application, you may already be linked to an institution in PAMS. If this happens, you will be taken to the PAMS home page.

### **Register to Your Institution:**

- Click the link labeled “Option 2: I know my institution and I am here to register to the institution.” (Note: If you previously created a PAMS account but did not register to an institution at that time, you must click the Institutions tab and click the “Register to Institution” link.)
- PAMS will take you to the “Register to Institution” page.
- Type a word or phrase from your institution name in the field labeled, “Institution Name like,” choose the radio button next to the item that best describes your role in the system, and click the “Search” button. A “like” search in PAMS returns results that contain the word or phrase you enter; you do not need to enter the exact name of the institution, but you should enter a word or phrase contained within the institution name. (If your institution has a frequently used acronym, such as ANL for Argonne National Laboratory or UCLA for the Regents of the University of California, Los Angeles, you may find it easiest to search for the acronym under “Institution Name like.” Many institutions with acronyms are listed in PAMS with their acronyms in parentheses after their names.)
- Find your institution in the list that is returned by the search and click the “Actions” link in the Options column next to the institution name to obtain a dropdown list. Select “Add me to this institution” from the dropdown. PAMS will take you to the “Institutions – List” page.
- If you do not see your institution in the initial search results, you can search again by clicking the “Cancel” button, clicking the Option 2 link, and repeating the search.
- If, after searching, you think your institution is not currently in the database, click the “Cannot Find My Institution” button and enter the requested institution information into PAMS. Click the “Create Institution” button. PAMS will add the institution to the system, associate your profile with the new institution, and return you to the “Institutions – List” page when you are finished.

### **Submit Your Pre-Application:**

- Create your pre-application (called a preproposal in PAMS) outside the system and save it as a file with extension .docx, .doc, or .pdf. Make a note of the location of the file on your computer so you can browse for it later from within PAMS.
- Log into PAMS and click the Proposals tab. Click the “View / Respond to Funding Opportunity Announcements” link and find the current announcement in the list. Click the “Actions/Views” link in the Options column next to this announcement to obtain a dropdown menu. Select “Submit Preproposal” from the dropdown.
- On the Submit Preproposal page, select the institution from which you are submitting this preproposal from the Institution dropdown. If you are associated with only one institution in the system, there will only be one institution in the dropdown.
- Note that you must select one and only one Principal Investigator (PI) per preproposal; to do so, click the “Select PI” button on the far right side of the screen. Find the appropriate PI from the list of all registered users from your institution returned by PAMS. (Hint: You may have to sort, filter, or search through the list if it has multiple pages.) Click the “Actions” link in the Options column next to the appropriate PI to obtain a dropdown menu. From the dropdown, choose “Select PI.”
- If the PI for whom you are submitting does not appear on the list, it means he or she has not yet registered in PAMS. For your convenience, you may have PAMS send an email invitation to the PI to register in PAMS. To do so, click the “Invite PI” link at the top left of the “Select PI” screen. You can enter an optional personal message to the PI in the “Comments” box, and it will be included in the email sent by PAMS to the PI. You must wait until the PI registers before you can submit the preproposal. Save the preproposal for later work by clicking the “Save” button at the bottom of the screen. It will be stored in “My Preproposals” for later editing.
- Enter a title for your preproposal.
- Select the appropriate technical contact from the Program Manager dropdown.
- To upload the preproposal file into PAMS, click the “Attach File” button at the far right side of the screen. Click the “Browse” (or “Choose File” depending on your browser) button to search for your file. You may enter an optional description of the file you are attaching. Click the “Upload” button to upload the file.
- At the bottom of the screen, click the “Submit to DOE” button to save and submit the preproposal to DOE.
- Upon submission, the PI will receive an email from the PAMS system <[PAMS.Autoreply@science.doe.gov](mailto:PAMS.Autoreply@science.doe.gov)> acknowledging receipt of the preproposal.

You are encouraged to register for an account in PAMS at least a week in advance of the preproposal submission deadline so that there will be no delays with your submission.

For help with PAMS, click the “External User Guide” link on the PAMS website, <https://pamspublic.science.energy.gov/>. You may also contact the PAMS Help Desk, which can be reached Monday through Friday, 9 AM – 5:30 PM Eastern Time. Telephone: (855) 818-1846 (toll free) or (301) 903-9610, email: [sc.pams-helpdesk@science.doe.gov](mailto:sc.pams-helpdesk@science.doe.gov). All submission and inquiries about this Funding Opportunity Announcement should reference **DE-FOA-0001531**.

Preapplications submitted outside PAMS will not be considered. Preapplications may not be submitted through grants.gov or www.FedConnect.net.

## **C. CONTENT AND APPLICATION FORMS**

### APPLICATION PREPARATION

You must download the application package, application forms and instructions, from Grants.gov at <http://www.grants.gov/>. (Additional instructions are provided in [Section IV, Part C](#) of this FOA.)

You are required to use the compatible version of Adobe Reader software to complete a [Grants.gov](#) Adobe application package. To ensure you have the [Grants.gov](#) compatible version of Adobe Reader, visit the download software page at [http://www.grants.gov/help/download\\_software.jsp](http://www.grants.gov/help/download_software.jsp).

You must complete the mandatory forms and any applicable optional forms (e.g., Disclosure of Lobbying Activities (SF-LLL)) in accordance with the instructions on the forms and the additional instructions below.

Files that are attached to the forms must be in Adobe Portable Document Format (PDF) unless otherwise specified in this announcement. Attached PDF files must be plain files consisting of text, numbers, and images without editable fields, signatures, passwords, redactions, or other advanced features available in some PDF-compatible software. Do not use PDF portfolios or binders.

Please note: you may only use the following UTF-8 characters when naming your application attachments: A-Z, a-z, 0-9, underscore (\_), hyphen (-), space, period. You must limit the file name to 50 or fewer characters. Attachments that do not follow this rule may cause the entire application to be rejected or cause issues during processing.

### LETTERS

Letters of collaboration from unfunded collaborators should also be included, if applicable, and should be placed in Appendix 9 (Other Attachments) after the Data Management Plan and Software Productivity and Sustainability Plan. These letters should state the intention to participate in the proposed research, with a brief description of the activities to be undertaken by the collaborator. Do not submit general letters of support as these are not used in making funding decisions and can interfere with the selection of peer reviewers.

## 1. SF-424 (R&R)

Complete this form first to populate data in other forms. Complete all the required fields in accordance with the pop-up instructions on the form. The list of certifications and assurances referenced in Field 17 is available on the DOE Financial Assistance Forms Page at <http://energy.gov/management/office-management/operational-management/financial-assistance/financial-assistance-forms> under Certifications and Assurances.

### DUNS AND EIN NUMBERS (FIELDS 5 AND 6)

The DUNS and EIN number fields on the SF-424 (R&R) form are used in PAMS to confirm the identity of the individual or organization submitting an application.

- Enter each number as a nine-digit number.
- Do not use hyphens or dashes.
- The Office of Science does not use the twelve-digit EIN format required by some other agencies.

### TYPE OF APPLICATION (FIELD 8)

A **new** application is one in which DOE support for the proposed research is being requested for the first time. A **renewal** application requests additional funding for a period of time following a current award. If the application requests a significant change in the scope of work, please consult with the Program contact identified in this FOA to determine if the application should be considered new or a renewal.

Please answer “yes” to the question “Is this application being submitted to other agencies?” if substantially similar, identical, or closely related research objectives are being submitted to another Federal agency. Indicate the agency or agencies to which the similar objectives have been submitted.

### PUBLIC POLICY REQUIREMENTS

The applicant assures DOE of its compliance with applicable public policy requirements, including the following:

Animal Welfare Act	7 USC 2131 et seq.,
Buy American Act	41 USC 10 et seq.
Cargo Preference Act	46 USC 55305, 46 CFR 381.7
Civil Rights Protections	10 CFR 1040
Debarment and Suspension	2 CFR 180, 2 CFR 901
Drug-Free Workplace Act	41 USC 701, 10 CFR 607
Environmental Protections	42 USC 7401, 33 USC 1251, 42 USC 4321
False Claims Act	31 USC 3729, 18 USC 287, 18 USC 1001, 10 CFR 1013
Federal Funding Accountability and Transparency Act	P.L. 109-282, 2 CFR 170
Fly America Act	49 USC 40118
Hatch Act	5 USC 1501 et seq.
Human Research Subjects Protections	10 CFR 745

Lobbying Disclosure Act	2 USC 1601 et seq.
Lobbying Prohibitions	31 USC 1352, 10 CFR 601
Metric System use	EO 12770
Non-delinquency on Federal Debt	28 USC 3201
Prohibition on benefitting Members of Congress	41 USC 6306
Seat Belt Use	EO 13043
Terrorist Financing	EO 13224, 66 FR 49079
Text Messaging While Driving	EO 13513, 74 FR 51225
Trafficking in Persons	22 USC 7104, 2 CFR 175

## 2. Research and Related Other Project Information

Complete questions 1 through 6 and attach files. The files must comply with the following instructions:

### PROJECT SUMMARY/ABSTRACT (FIELD 7 ON THE FORM)

The project summary/abstract is a summary of the proposed activity suitable for distribution to the public and sufficient to permit potential reviewers to identify conflicts of interest. It must be a self-contained document. Provide the name of the applicant, the project title, the project director/principal investigator(s) (PD/PI) and the PD/PI's institutional affiliation, any coinvestigators and their institutional affiliations, the objectives of the project, a description of the project, including methods to be employed, and the potential impact of the project (i.e., benefits, outcomes. A sample is provided below:

<p>A Really Great Idea</p> <p>A. Smith, Lead Institution (Principal Investigator)</p> <p>A. Brown, Institution 2 (Co-Investigator)</p> <p>A. Jones, Institution 3 (Co-Investigator)</p> <p>Text of abstract</p>
---

The project summary must not exceed 1 page when printed using standard 8.5” by 11” paper with 1” margins (top, bottom, left and right) with font not smaller than 11 point. To attach a Project Summary/Abstract, click “Add Attachment.”

- Do not include any proprietary or sensitive business information.
- DOE may use the abstract may to prepare public reports about supported research.

### DOE COVER PAGE

(PART OF PROJECT NARRATIVE ATTACHED TO FIELD 8 ON THE FORM)

The application narrative should begin with a cover page that will not count toward the project narrative page limitation. The cover page must include the following items:

- The project title
- Applicant/Institution:

- Street Address/City/State/Zip:
- Postal Address:
- Lead PI name, telephone number, email:
- Administrative Point of Contact name, telephone number, email:
- Funding Opportunity FOA Number: DE-FOA-0001531
- DOE/Office of Science Program Office: Climate and Environmental Sciences Division
- DOE/Office of Science Program Office Technical Contact: Renu Joseph/Robert Vallario
- PAMS Letter of Intent tracking number:

COVER PAGE SUPPLEMENT FOR COLLABORATIONS  
(PART OF PROJECT NARRATIVE ATTACHED TO FIELD 8 ON THE FORM)

Collaborative applications submitted from different institutions (for this FOA, this means at least one of the collaborating institutions is a DOE National Laboratories or non-DOE Federal Agency) must clearly indicate they are part of a collaborative project/group. Every partner institution must submit an application through its own sponsored research office. Each collaborative group can have only one lead institution. Each application within the collaborative group, including the narrative and all required appendices and attachments, must be identical with the following exceptions:

- Each application must contain a correct SF-424 (R&R) cover page for the submitting institution only.
- Each application must contain a unique budget corresponding to the expenditures for that application's submitting institution only.
- Each application must contain a unique budget justification corresponding to the expenditures for that application's submitting institution only.

Each application belonging to a collaborative group should have the same title in Block 11 of the SF 424 (R&R) form.

The Office of Science will use the multiple applications associated with a collaborative group to create one consolidated document for merit review that consists of the common, identical application materials combined with a set of detailed budgets from the partner institutions. It is very important that every application in the collaborative group be identical (including the title) with the exception of the budget and budget justification pages.

If the project is a collaboration, provide the following information on a separate page as a supplement to the cover page.

- List all collaborating institutions by name with each institution's principal investigator on the same line.
- Indicate the lead PI who will be the point of contact and coordinator for the combined research activity.
- Provide a statement explaining the leadership structure of the collaboration.
- Include a description of each collaborating institution's facilities, equipment, and resources that will be made available to the collaborative group.

- If applicable, explain how students and junior researchers will be trained and mentored by the collaborators.
- Include a table modeled on the following chart providing summary budget information from all collaborating institutions. Provide the total costs of the budget request in each year for each institution and totals for all rows and columns.

	<b>Names</b>	<b>Institution</b>	<b>Year 1 Budget</b>	<b>Year 2 Budget</b>	<b>Year 3 Budget</b>	<b>Total Budget</b>
Lead PI						
Co-PI						
Co-PI						
Co-PI						

Example budget table (\$ in thousands)

\* Note that collaborating applications must be submitted separately.

**PROJECT NARRATIVE (FIELD 8 ON THE FORM)**

The project narrative **must not exceed 25 pages** of technical information, including charts, graphs, maps, photographs, and other pictorial presentations, when printed using standard 8.5” by 11” paper with 1 inch margins (top, bottom, left, and right). The font must not be smaller than 11 point. Merit reviewers will only consider the number of pages specified in the first sentence of this paragraph. This page limit does not apply to the Cover Page, Budget Page(s), Budget Justification, biographical material, publications and references, and appendices, each of which may have its own page limit.

Do not include any Internet addresses (URLs) that provide supplementary or additional information that constitutes a part of the application. Merit reviewers are not required to access Internet sites; however, Internet publications in a list of references will be treated identically to print publications. See Part VIII.D for instructions on how to mark proprietary application information. To attach a Project Narrative, click “Add Attachment.”

**Background/Introduction:** Explanation of the importance and relevance of the proposed work as well as a review of the relevant literature.

**Proposed Research and Methods:** Identify the hypotheses to be tested (if any) and details of the methods to be used including the integration of experiments with theoretical and computational research efforts.

**Timetable of Activities:** Timeline for all major activities including milestones and deliverables.

**Project Objectives:** This section should provide a clear, concise statement of the specific objectives/aims of the proposed project.

The Project Narrative comprises the research plan for the project. It should contain enough background material in the Introduction, including review of the relevant literature, to demonstrate sufficient knowledge of the state of the science. The major part of the narrative should be devoted to a description and justification of the proposed project, including details of the method to be used. It should also include a timeline for the major activities of the proposed project, and should indicate which project personnel will be responsible for which activities. There should be no ambiguity about which personnel will perform particular parts of the project, and the time at which these activities will take place.

**For Collaborative Proposals Only:** Each collaborating institution must submit an identical common narrative. Collaborative proposals will necessarily be longer than single-institution proposals. The common narrative may exceed the page limit described for the research narrative by 50%; i.e., if the page limit is 12 pages, a collaboration is subject to a limit of 18 pages. The common narrative must identify which tasks and activities will be performed by which of the collaborating institutions in every budget period of the proposed project. The budget and the budget justification—which are unique to each collaborating institution—may refer to parts of the common narrative to further identify each collaborating institution’s activities in the joint project. There should be no ambiguity about each institution’s role and participation in the collaborative group.

The Office of Science will use the multiple applications associated with a collaborative group to create one consolidated document for merit review that consists of the common, identical application materials combined with a set of detailed budgets from the partner institutions. It is very important that every application in the collaborative group be identical (including the title) with the exception of the budget and budget justification pages.

#### APPENDIX 1: PROGRESS FROM DOE-FUNDED RESEARCH:

As described under Merit Review, applicants will also be evaluated on past performance from previously funded related research, i.e., funded by RGCM, IAR or its partner modeling activity (Earth System Modeling). **Previously funded applicants will therefore be required to submit as an Appendix to the Project Narrative section a description of past research funded by RGCM and/or IAR and its partner modeling activities, results obtained, and notable accomplishments of the research.** Also relevant to this section are collaborative or programmatic contributions of benefit to these activities. The Appendix should be titled “Progress from DOE-funded research” and should be appended immediately to the end of the Project Narrative. This section should be no longer than 4 pages and will not count towards the 20 page limit of the Project Narrative. Applicants who have not received previous funding from one of these activities should include the section title followed by a statement that there is no applicable previous DOE-funded research.

## APPENDIX 2: BIOGRAPHICAL SKETCH

Provide a biographical sketch for the project director/principal investigator (PD/PI) and each senior/key person listed in Section A on the R&R Budget form.

- Provide the biographical sketch information as an appendix to your project narrative.
- Do not attach a separate file.
- The biographical sketch appendix will not count in the project narrative page limitation.
- The biographical information (curriculum vitae) for each person must not exceed 2 pages when printed on 8.5" by 11" paper with 1 inch margins (top, bottom, left, and right) with font not smaller than 11 point and must include:

**Education and Training:** Undergraduate, graduate and postdoctoral training, provide institution, major/area, degree and year.

**Research and Professional Experience:** Beginning with the current position list, in chronological order, professional/academic positions with a brief description.

**Publications:** Provide a list of up to 10 publications most closely related to the proposed project. For each publication, identify the names of all authors (in the same sequence in which they appear in the publication), the article title, book or journal title, volume number, page numbers, year of publication, and website address if available electronically. Patents, copyrights and software systems developed may be provided in addition to or substituted for publications.

**Synergistic Activities:** List no more than 5 professional and scholarly activities related to the effort proposed.

**Identification of Potential Conflicts of Interest or Bias in Selection of Reviewers:** Provide the following information in this section:

- **Collaborators and Co-editors:** List in alphabetical order all persons, including their current organizational affiliation, who are, or who have been, collaborators or co-authors with you on a research project, book or book article, report, abstract, or paper during the 48 months preceding the submission of this application. For publications or collaborations with more than 10 authors or participants, only list those individuals in the core group with whom the Principal Investigator interacted on a regular basis while the research was being done. Also, list any individuals who are currently, or have been, co-editors with you on a special issue of a journal, compendium, or conference proceedings during the 24 months preceding the submission of this application. If there are no collaborators or co-editors to report, state "None."
- **Graduate and Postdoctoral Advisors and Advisees:** List the names and current organizational affiliations of your graduate advisor(s) and principal postdoctoral sponsor(s). Also, list the names and current organizational affiliations of your graduate students and postdoctoral associates.

**Personally Identifiable Information:** Do not include sensitive personally identifiable information such as a Social Security Number, date of birth, or city of birth. Do not include information that a merit reviewer should not make use of.

### APPENDIX 3: CURRENT AND PENDING SUPPORT

Provide a list of all current and pending support (both Federal and non-Federal) for the Project Director/Principal Investigator(s) (PD/PI) and senior/key persons, including subawardees, for ongoing projects and pending applications.

List all sponsored activities or awards requiring a measurable commitment of effort, whether paid or unpaid.

For every activity, list the following items:

- The sponsor of the activity or the source of funding
- The award or other identifying number
- The title of the award or activity
- The total cost or value of the award or activity, including direct and indirect costs. For pending proposals, provide the total amount of requested funding.
- The person-months of effort per year being dedicated to the award or activity

Provide the Current and Pending Support as an appendix to your project narrative. Concurrent submission of an application to other organizations for simultaneous consideration will not prejudice its review.

- Do not attach a separate file.
- This appendix will not count in the project narrative page limitation.

### APPENDIX 4: BIBLIOGRAPHY & REFERENCES CITED

Provide a bibliography of any references cited in the Project Narrative. Each reference must include the names of all authors (in the same sequence in which they appear in the publication), the article and journal title, book title, volume number, page numbers, and year of publication. For research areas where there are routinely more than ten coauthors of archival publications, you may use an abbreviated style such as the Physical Review Letters (PRL) convention for citations (listing only the first author). For example, your paper may be listed as, “A Really Important New Result,” A. Aardvark et. al. (MONGO Collaboration), PRL 999. Include only bibliographic citations. Applicants should be especially careful to follow scholarly practices in providing citations for source materials relied upon when preparing any section of the application. Provide the Bibliography and References Cited information as an appendix to your project narrative.

- Do not attach a separate file.
- This appendix will not count in the project narrative page limitation.

### APPENDIX 5: FACILITIES & OTHER RESOURCES

This information is used to assess the capability of the organizational resources, including subawardee resources, available to perform the effort proposed. Identify the facilities to be used (Laboratory, Animal, Computer, Office, Clinical and Other). If appropriate, indicate their capacities, pertinent capabilities, relative proximity, and extent of availability to the project.

Describe only those resources that are directly applicable to the proposed work. Describe other resources available to the project (e.g., machine shop, electronic shop) and the extent to which they would be available to the project. For proposed investigations requiring access to experimental user facilities maintained by institutions other than the applicant, please provide a document from the facility manager confirming that the researchers will have access to the facility. Please provide the Facility and Other Resource information as an appendix to your project narrative.

- Do not attach a separate file.
- This appendix will not count in the project narrative page limitation.

#### APPENDIX 6: EQUIPMENT

List major items of equipment already available for this project and, if appropriate identify location and pertinent capabilities. Provide the Equipment information as an appendix to your project narrative.

- Do not attach a separate file.
- This appendix will not count in the project narrative page limitation.

#### APPENDIX 7: DATA MANAGEMENT PLAN

Provide a Data Management Plan (DMP) that addresses the following requirements:

1. DMPs should describe whether and how data generated in the course of the proposed research will be shared and preserved. If the plan is not to share and/or preserve certain data, then the plan must explain the basis of the decision (for example, cost/benefit considerations, other parameters of feasibility, scientific appropriateness, or limitations discussed in #4). At a minimum, DMPs must describe how data sharing and preservation will enable validation of results, or how results could be validated if data are not shared or preserved.
2. DMPs should provide a plan for making all research data displayed in publications resulting from the proposed research open, machine-readable, and digitally accessible to the public at the time of publication. This includes data that are displayed in charts, figures, images, etc. In addition, the underlying digital research data used to generate the displayed data should be made as accessible as possible to the public in accordance with the principles stated in the Office of Science Statement on Digital Data Management (<http://science.energy.gov/funding-opportunities/digital-data-management/>). This requirement could be met by including the data as supplementary information to the published article, or through other means. The published article should indicate how these data can be accessed.
3. DMPs should consult and reference available information about data management resources to be used in the course of the proposed research. In particular, DMPs that explicitly or implicitly commit data management resources at a facility beyond what is conventionally made available to approved users should be accompanied by written approval from that facility. In determining the resources available for data management at Office of Science User Facilities, researchers should consult the published description of data management resources and practices at that facility and reference it in the DMP. Information about other Office of Science facilities can be found in the additional guidance from the sponsoring program.

4. DMPs must protect confidentiality, personal privacy, Personally Identifiable Information, and U.S. national, homeland, and economic security; recognize proprietary interests, business confidential information, and intellectual property rights; avoid significant negative impact on innovation, and U.S. competitiveness; and otherwise be consistent with all applicable laws, and regulations. There is no requirement to share proprietary data.

DMPs will be reviewed as part of the overall Office of Science research proposal merit review process. Applicants are encouraged to consult the Office of Science website for further information and suggestions for how to structure a DMP: <http://science.energy.gov/funding-opportunities/digital-data-management/>

- This appendix should not exceed 2 pages including charts, graphs, maps, photographs, and other pictorial presentations, when printed using standard 8.5” by 11” paper with 1 inch margins (top, bottom, left, and right)
- Do not attach a separate file.
- This appendix will not count in the project narrative page limitation.

#### APPENDIX 8: SOFTWARE PRODUCTIVITY AND SUSTAINABILITY PLAN (PSP)

As software takes on an increasingly important role in scientific research, the Office of Biological and Environmental Research (BER) recognizes the need to promote improved productivity and sustainability of the software efforts and products that are a part of the projects it funds. Augmenting the Office of Science DMP, BER’s Software PSP is focused on methodologies, practices and tools that lead to more productive development and use of scientific software, and improved sustainability of software products.

BER affirms that the following principles related to software productivity and sustainability directly support fulfillment of its mission.

- Development and use of scientific software play a central role in scientific research. Improved productivity and sustainability has the potential to increase the pace of scientific discovery and promote more efficient and effective use of government funding and resources. Software productivity and sustainability should be an integral part of research planning.
- Verified and validated software efforts are central to protecting the integrity of science by assuring that computational results are correct and accurate.
- Current scientific software practices produce valuable software. The costs and benefits of improving productivity and sustainability should be considered when planning.

To integrate software productivity and sustainability planning into the overall research plan, please adhere to the following requirements:

1. Software PSPs should describe the overall software development process to be used during the proposed research project, emphasizing elements that are most important for productivity and sustainability. Details should include descriptions of (i) how software requirements will be determined and transformed into implemented code, tested and

deployed (the software lifecycle), (ii) how integration of new and revised capabilities into existing software will preserve existing capabilities (regression testing), (iii) how users will learn about utilizing the code in their scientific efforts (documentation).

2. Software PSPs should describe (i) source management tools and processes (how source code will be developed and managed), (ii) issue tracking tools and processes (how feature requests and software faults or “bugs” will be recorded and managed), (iii) regression testing tools and processes (how regression tests will be invoked) and (iv) software distribution tools and processes (how will users and collaborators access software products).
3. Software PSPs should describe how software productivity and sustainability will be improved over the life of the proposed research project.

This appendix should not exceed 2 pages including charts, graphs, maps, photographs, and other pictorial presentations, when printed using standard 8.5” by 11” paper with 1 inch margins (top, bottom, left, and right)

- Do not attach a separate file.
- This appendix will not count in the project narrative page limitation.

#### APPENDIX 9: OTHER ATTACHMENT

If you need to elaborate on your responses to questions 1-6 on the “Other Project Information” document, please provide the Other Attachment information as an appendix to your project narrative. Information not easily accessible to a reviewer may be included in this appendix, but do not use this appendix to circumvent the page limitations of the application. Reviewers are not required to consider information in this appendix.

- Do not attach a separate file.
- This appendix will not count in the project narrative page limitation.
  
- **Do not attach any of the requested appendices described above as files for fields 9, 10, 11, and 12.**
- **Follow the above instructions to include the information as appendices to the project narrative file.**
- **These appendices will not count toward the project narrative’s page limitation.**
- **Do not attach any files to fields 9, 10, 11, or 12.**

### 3. Research And Related Budget

Complete the Research and Related Budget form in accordance with the instructions on the form (Activate Help Mode to see instructions) and the following instructions. You must complete a separate budget for each year of support requested. The form will generate a cumulative budget for the total project period. You must complete all the mandatory information on the form before the NEXT PERIOD button is activated. You may request funds under any of the categories listed as long as the item and amount are necessary to perform the proposed work, meet all the criteria for allowability under the applicable Federal cost principles, and are not prohibited by the

funding restrictions in this FOA (See PART IV, G).

### Budget Fields

<p>Section A Senior/Key Person</p>	<p>For each Senior/Key Person, enter the requested information. List personnel, base salary, the number of months that person will be allocated to the project, requested salary fringe benefits, and the total funds requested for each person. The requested salary must be the product of the base salary and the effort. Include a written narrative in the budget justification that justifies the need for requested personnel.</p>
<p>Section B Other Personnel</p>	<p>List personnel, the number of months that person will be allocated to the project, requested salary fringe benefits, and the total funds requested for each person. Include a written narrative in the budget justification that fully justifies the need for requested personnel.</p>
<p>Section C Equipment</p>	<p>For the purpose of this budget, equipment is designated as an item of property that has an acquisition cost of \$5,000 or more and an expected service life of more than one year. (Note that this designation applies for proposal budgeting only and differs from the DOE definition of capital equipment.) List <b>each</b> item of equipment separately and justify each in the budget justification section. Do not aggregate items of equipment. Allowable items ordinarily will be limited to research equipment and apparatus not already available for the conduct of the work. General-purpose office equipment is not eligible for support unless primarily or exclusively used in the actual conduct of scientific research.</p>
<p>Section D Travel</p>	<p>For purposes of this section only, travel to Canada or to Mexico is considered domestic travel. In the budget justification, list each trip's destination, dates, estimated costs including transportation and subsistence, number of staff traveling, the purpose of the travel, and how it relates to the project. Indicate the basis for the cost estimate (quotes from vendors or suppliers, past experience of similar items, or some other basis). To qualify for support, attendance at meetings or conferences must enhance the investigator's capability to perform the research, plan extensions of it, or disseminate its results. Domestic travel is to be justified separately from foreign travel.</p>
<p>Section E Participant/Trainee Support Costs</p>	<p>If applicable, submit training support costs. Educational projects that intend to support trainees (precollege, college, graduate and post graduate) must list each trainee cost that includes stipend levels and amounts, cost of tuition for each trainee, cost of any travel (provide the same information as needed under the regular travel category), and costs for any related training expenses. Participant costs are those costs associated with conferences, workshops, symposia or institutes and breakout items should indicate the number of participants, cost for each participant, purpose of the conference, dates and places of meetings and any related administrative expenses.</p>

	Indicate the basis for the cost estimate (quotes from vendors or suppliers, past experience of similar items, or some other basis).
Section F Other Direct Costs	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <b>Materials and Supplies:</b> Enter total funds requested for materials and supplies in the appropriate fields. In the budget justification, indicate general categories such as glassware, and chemicals, including an amount for each category (items not identified under “Equipment”). Categories less than \$1,000 are not required to be itemized. Indicate the basis for the cost estimate (quotes from vendors or suppliers, past experience of similar items, or some other basis).</li> <li>• <b>Publication Costs:</b> Enter the total publication funds requested. The proposal budget may request funds for the costs of documenting, preparing, publishing or otherwise making available to others the findings and products of the work conducted under the award. In the budget justification, include supporting information. Indicate the basis for the cost estimate (quotes from vendors or suppliers, past experience of similar items, or some other basis).</li> <li>• <b>Consultant Services:</b> Enter total funds requested for all consultant services. In the budget justification, identify each consultant, the services he/she will perform, total number of days, travel costs, and total estimated costs. Indicate the basis for the cost estimate (quotes from vendors or suppliers, past experience of similar items, or some other basis).</li> <li>• <b>ADP/Computer Services:</b> Enter total funds requested for ADP/Computer Services. The cost of computer services, including computer-based retrieval of scientific, technical and education information may be requested. In the budget justification, include the established computer service rates at the proposing organization if applicable. Indicate the basis for the cost estimate (quotes from vendors or suppliers, past experience of similar items, or some other basis).</li> <li>• <b>Subawards/Consortium/Contractual Costs:</b> Enter total costs for all subawards/consortium organizations and other contractual costs proposed for the project. In the budget justification, justify the details.</li> <li>• <b>Equipment or Facility Rental/User Fees:</b> Enter total funds requested for Equipment or Facility Rental/User Fees. In the budget justification, identify each rental/user fee and justify. Indicate the basis for the cost estimate (quotes from vendors or suppliers, past experience of similar items, or some other basis).</li> <li>• <b>Alterations and Renovations:</b> Enter total funds requested for Alterations and Renovations. In the budget justification, itemize by category and justify the costs of alterations and renovations, including repairs, painting, removal or installation of partitions, shielding, or air conditioning. Where applicable, provide the square footage and costs.</li> </ul>

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <b>Other:</b> Add text to describe any other Direct Costs not requested above. Enter costs associated with “Other” item(s). Use the budget justification to further itemize and justify.</li> </ul>
Section G Direct Costs	This represents Total Direct Costs (Sections A through F)
Section H Other Indirect Costs	Enter the Indirect Cost information for each field. Only four general categories of indirect costs are allowed/requested on this form, so please consolidate if needed. Include the cognizant Federal agency and contact information if using a negotiated rate agreement.
Section I Total Direct and Indirect Costs	This is the total of Sections G and H

**BUDGET JUSTIFICATION (FIELD K ON THE FORM)**

Provide the required supporting information for the following costs (See R&R Budget instructions): equipment; domestic and foreign travel; participant/trainees; materials and supplies; publication; consultant services; ADP/computer services; subaward/consortium/contractual; equipment or facility rental/user fees; alterations and renovations; and indirect cost type. Provide any other information you wish to submit to justify your budget request. **Attach a single budget justification file for the entire project period in field K.** The file automatically carries over to each budget year.

**4. R&R Subaward Budget Attachment(s) Form**

**Budgets for Subawardees, other than DOE FFRDC Contractors:** You must provide a separate R&R budget for each subawardee. Download the R&R Budget Attachment from the R&R SUBAWARD BUDGET ATTACHMENT(S) FORM and e-mail it to each subawardee that is required to submit a separate budget. After the subawardee has e-mailed its completed budget back to you, attach it to one of the blocks provided on the form. Use up to 10 letters of the subawardee’s name (plus.pdf) as the file name (e.g., ucla.pdf or energyres.pdf). Filenames should not exceed 50 characters.

If the project involves more subawardees than there are places in the SUBAWARD BUDGET ATTACHMENT(S) FORM, the additional subaward budgets may be saved as PDF files and appended to the Budget Justification attached to Field K.

Ensure that any files received from subawardees are the PDF files extracted from the SUBAWARD BUDGET ATTACHMENT(S) FORM. Errors will be created if a subawardee sends a prime applicant a budget form that was not extracted from the application package.

**5. Project/Performance Site Location(s)**

Indicate the primary site where the work will be performed. If a portion of the project will be performed at any other site(s), identify the site location(s) in the blocks provided.

Note that the Project/Performance Site Congressional District is entered in the format of the 2 digit state code followed by a dash and a 3 digit Congressional district code, for example VA-001. Hover over this field for additional instructions.

Use the Next Site button to expand the form to add additional Project/Performance Site Locations.

**6. Summary of Required Forms/Files**

Your application must include the following items:

<b>Name of Document</b>	<b>Format</b>	<b>Attach to</b>
<b>SF 424 (R&amp;R)</b>	Form	N/A
<b>RESEARCH AND RELATED Other Project Information</b>	Form	N/A
Project Summary/Abstract	PDF	Field 7
Project Narrative, including required appendices	PDF	Field 8
<b>RESEARCH &amp; RELATED BUDGET</b>	Form	N/A
Budget Justification	PDF	Field K
<b>PROJECT/PERFORMANCE SITE LOCATION(S)</b>	Form	N/A
<b>SF-LLL Disclosure of Lobbying Activities, if applicable</b>	Form	N/A

**D. SUBMISSIONS FROM SUCCESSFUL APPLICANTS**

If selected for award, DOE reserves the right to request additional or clarifying information for any reason deemed necessary, including, but not limited to:

- Indirect cost information
- Other budget information
- Name and phone number of the Designated Responsible Employee for complying with national policies prohibiting discrimination (See 10 CFR 1040.5)
- Representation of Limited Rights Data and Restricted Software, if applicable
- Commitment Letter from Third Parties Contributing to Cost Sharing, if applicable
- Environmental Information

**E. SUBMISSION DATES AND TIMES**

**1. Letter of Intent Due Date**

None

## **2. Pre-application Due Date**

03/07/2016 at 5 PM Eastern Time

You are encouraged to submit your pre-application well before the deadline.

## **3. Application Due Date**

04/18/2016 at 11:59 PM Eastern Time

You are encouraged to transmit your application well before the deadline.

## **4. Late Submissions**

Delays in submitting letters of intent, pre-applications, and applications may be unavoidable. DOE has accepted late submissions when applicants have been unable to make timely submissions because of widespread technological disruptions or significant natural disasters. DOE has made accommodations for incapacitating or life-threatening illnesses and for deaths of immediate family members. Other circumstances may or may not justify late submissions. Unacceptable justifications include the following:

- Failure to begin submission process early enough.
- Failure to provide sufficient time to complete the process.
- Failure to understand the submission process.
- Failure to understand the deadlines for submissions.
- Failure to satisfy prerequisite registrations.
- Unavailability of administrative personnel.
- An upper respiratory infection (a “cold”) the week of the deadline.

You are responsible for beginning the submission process in sufficient time to accommodate reasonably foreseeable incidents, contingencies, and disruptions.

Applicants must contact the Program Office/Manager listed in this Funding Opportunity Announcement to discuss the option of a late submission. Contacting the Program Office/Manager after the deadline may reduce the likelihood that a request will be granted.

DOE notes that not all requests for late submission will be approved.

You may be able to submit your application in response to the currently available Office of Science Annual Solicitation. Please contact the Program Office/Manager listed in this Funding Opportunity Announcement to discuss this option.

## **F. INTERGOVERNMENTAL REVIEW**

This program is not subject to Executive Order 12372 Intergovernmental Review of Federal Programs.

## **G. FUNDING RESTRICTIONS**

Funding for all awards and future budget periods are contingent upon the availability of funds appropriated by Congress for the purpose of this program and the availability of future-year budget authority.

**Cost Principles:** Costs must be allowable, allocable and reasonable in accordance with the applicable Federal cost principles referenced in 2 CFR 200 as modified by 2 CFR 910 (DOE Financial Assistance Regulation).

**Pre-award Costs:** Recipients may charge to an award resulting from this FOA pre-award costs that were incurred within the ninety (90) calendar day period immediately preceding the effective date of the award, if the costs are allowable in accordance with the applicable Federal cost principles referenced in 2 CFR 200 as modified by 2 CFR 910 (DOE Financial Assistance Regulation). Recipients must obtain the prior approval of the contracting officer for any pre-award costs that are for periods greater than this 90 day calendar period.

Pre-award costs are incurred at the applicant's risk. DOE is under no obligation to reimburse such costs if for any reason the applicant does not receive an award or if the award is made for a lesser amount than the applicant expected.

## **H. OTHER SUBMISSION AND REGISTRATION REQUIREMENTS**

### **1. Systems to Register In**

There are several one-time actions you must complete in order to submit an application in response to this FOA. Applicants not currently registered with SAM and grants.gov should allow **at least 44 days** to complete these requirements. You should start the process as soon as possible.

Applicants must obtain a DUNS number at <http://fedgov.dnb.com/webform>.

Applicants must register with the System for Award Management (SAM) at <http://www.sam.gov/>. If you had an active registration in the Central Contractor Registry (CCR), you should have an active registration in SAM. More information about SAM registration for applicants is found at [https://www.sam.gov/sam/transcript/Quick\\_Guide\\_for\\_Grants\\_Registrations\\_v1.7.pdf](https://www.sam.gov/sam/transcript/Quick_Guide_for_Grants_Registrations_v1.7.pdf).

Applicants must provide a Taxpayer Identification Number (TIN) to complete their registration in SAM.gov. An applicant's TIN is an Employer Identification Number (EIN) assigned by the Internal Revenue Service (IRS). In limited circumstances, a Social Security Number (SSN) assigned by the Social Security Administration (SSA) may be used as a TIN. You may obtain an EIN from the IRS at [http://www.irs.gov/Businesses/Small-Businesses-%26-Self-Employed/Apply-for-an-Employer-Identification-Number-\(EIN\)-Online](http://www.irs.gov/Businesses/Small-Businesses-%26-Self-Employed/Apply-for-an-Employer-Identification-Number-(EIN)-Online).

DOE discourages the use of a SSN as a TIN. You are encouraged to obtain a TIN from the Internal Revenue Service (IRS) using the website listed above.

Applicants must register with FedConnect at [www.fedconnect.net](http://www.fedconnect.net). The full, binding version of assistance agreements will be posted to FedConnect.

Recipients must register with the Federal Funding Accountability and Transparency Act Subaward Reporting System at <https://www.frs.gov>. This registration must be completed before an award may be made: you are advised to register while preparing your application.

## 2. Registering in Grants.gov

Applicants must register with grants.gov.

For organizations, please follow the procedures detailed below, making use of the checklist provided below:

<http://www.grants.gov/web/grants/applicants/organization-registration.html>

<http://www.grants.gov/documents/19/18243/OrganizationRegChecklist.pdf>

For individuals, please follow the procedures detailed below:

<http://www.grants.gov/web/grants/applicants/individual-registration.html>

Organizations and individuals must have an E-Business (E-Biz) Point of Contact (POC). You may find the checklist at [http://www.grants.gov/documents/19/18243/E-Biz\\_POC\\_Checklist.pdf](http://www.grants.gov/documents/19/18243/E-Biz_POC_Checklist.pdf) useful.

Grants.gov maintains a User Guide at

<http://www.grants.gov/documents/19/18243/GrantsGovApplicantUserGuide.pdf> and a list of Frequently Asked Questions at <http://www.grants.gov/web/grants/applicants/applicant-faqs.html>.

Questions relating to the registration process, **system requirements, or how an application form works** must be directed to Grants.gov at 1-800-518-4726 or [support@grants.gov](mailto:support@grants.gov).

### FIRST-TIME REGISTRATION PROCESS IN GRANTS.GOV

You must complete the one-time registration process (all steps) before you can submit your first application through [www.grants.gov](http://www.grants.gov). (See <http://www.grants.gov/web/grants/applicants/grant-application-process.html>). We recommend that you start this process at least six weeks before the application due date. It may take 44 days or more to complete the entire process. Use the Grants.gov Organizational Registration Checklists at <http://www.grants.gov/web/grants/applicants/organization-registration.html> to guide you through the process. IMPORTANT: During the SAM registration process, you will be asked to designate an E-Business Point of Contact (EBIZ POC). The EBIZ POC must obtain a special password called "Marketing Partner Identification Number" (MPIN). When you have completed the process, you should call the Grants.gov Helpdesk at 1-800-518-4726 to verify that you have completed the final step (i.e., Grants.gov registration).3. Application Receipt Notices

After an application is submitted, the Authorized Organization Representative (AOR) will receive a series of four e-mails. It is extremely important that the AOR watch for and save each of the emails. It may take up to two (2) business days from application submission to receipt of email Number 2. The titles of the four e-mails are:

Number 1 - Grants.gov Submission Receipt Number

Number 2 - Grants.gov Submission Validation Receipt for Application Number

Number 3 - Grants.gov Grantor Agency Retrieval Receipt for Application Number

Number 4 - Grants.gov Agency Tracking Number Assignment for Application Number

**IMPORTANT NOTICE:** When you have completed the grants.gov registration process, you should call the Grants.gov Helpdesk at 1-800-518-4726 to verify that you have completed the final step (i.e., grants.gov registration).

### **3. Where to Submit an Application**

Applications must be submitted through grants.gov to be considered for award.

Applicants must download the application package, application forms and instructions, from grants.gov at <http://www.grants.gov/> (Additional instructions are provided in Section IV A of this FOA.)

Submit electronic applications through the “Apply for Grants” function at [www.grants.gov](http://www.grants.gov). If you have problems completing the registration process or submitting your application, call grants.gov at 1-800-518-4726 or send an email to [support@grants.gov](mailto:support@grants.gov).

Please ensure that you have read the applicable instructions, guides, help notices, frequently asked questions, and other forms of technical support on grants.gov.

### **4. DOE Office of Science Portfolio Analysis and Management System (PAMS)**

After you submit your application through grants.gov, the application will automatically transfer into the Portfolio Analysis and Management System (PAMS) for processing by the DOE Office of Science. Many functions for grants and cooperative agreements can be done in PAMS, which is available at <https://pamspublic.science.energy.gov>.

You will want to “register to” your application: a process of linking yourself to the application after it has been submitted through grants.gov and processed by DOE.

You must register in PAMS to submit a pre-application or a letter of intent.

You may use the Internet Explorer, Firefox, Google Chrome, or Safari browsers to access PAMS.

Notifications sent from the PAMS system will come from the PAMS email address <[PAMS.Autoreply@science.doe.gov](mailto:PAMS.Autoreply@science.doe.gov)>. Please make sure your email server/software allows delivery of emails from the PAMS email address to yours.

Registering to PAMS is a two-step process; once you create an individual account, you must associate yourself with (“register to”) your institution. Detailed steps are listed below.

#### 1. CREATE PAMS ACCOUNT:

To register, click the “Create New PAMS Account” link on the website <https://pamspublic.science.energy.gov/>.

- Click the “No, I have never had an account” link and then the “Create Account” button.
- You will be prompted to enter your name and email address, create a username and password, and select a security question and answer. Once you have done this, click the “Save and Continue” button.
- On the next page, enter the required information (at least one phone number and your mailing address) and any optional information you wish to provide (e.g., FAX number, website, mailstop code, additional email addresses or phone numbers, Division/Department). Click the “Create Account” button.
- Read the user agreement and click the “Accept” button to indicate that you understand your responsibilities and agree to comply with the rules of behavior for PAMS.
- PAMS will take you to the “Having Trouble Logging In?” page. (If you have been an Office of Science merit reviewer or if you have previously submitted an application, you may already be linked to an institution in PAMS. If this happens, you will be taken to the PAMS home page.)

#### 2. REGISTER TO YOUR INSTITUTION:

- Click the link labeled “Option 2: I know my institution and I am here to register to the institution.” (Note: If you previously created a PAMS account but did not register to an institution at that time, you must click the Institutions tab and click the “Register to Institution” link.)
- PAMS will take you to the “Register to Institution” page.
- Type a word or phrase from your institution name in the field labeled, “Institution Name like,” choose the radio button next to the item that best describes your role in the system, and click the “Search” button. A “like” search in PAMS returns results that contain the word or phrase you enter; you do not need to enter the exact name of the institution, but you should enter a word or phrase contained within the institution name. (If your institution has a frequently used acronym, such as ANL for Argonne National Laboratory or UCLA for the Regents of the University of California, Los Angeles, you may find it easiest to search for the acronym under “Institution Name like.” Many institutions with acronyms are listed in PAMS with their acronyms in parentheses after their names.)
- Find your institution in the list that is returned by the search and click the “Actions” link in the Options column next to the institution name to obtain a dropdown list. Select “Add me to this institution” from the dropdown. PAMS will take you to the “Institutions – List” page.

- If you do not see your institution in the initial search results, you can search again by clicking the “Cancel” button, clicking the Option 2 link, and repeating the search.
- If, after searching, you think your institution is not currently in the database, click the “Cannot Find My Institution” button and enter the requested institution information into PAMS. Click the “Create Institution” button. PAMS will add the institution to the system, associate your profile with the new institution, and return you to the “Institutions – List” page when you are finished.

For help with PAMS, click the “External User Guide” link on the PAMS website, <https://pamspublic.science.energy.gov/>. You may also contact the PAMS Help Desk, which can be reached Monday through Friday, 9AM – 5:30 PM Eastern Time. Telephone: (855) 818-1846 (toll free) or (301) 903-9610, email: [sc.pams-helpdesk@science.doe.gov](mailto:sc.pams-helpdesk@science.doe.gov). All submission and inquiries about this Funding Opportunity Announcement should reference DE-FOA-0001531.

## 5. Viewing Submitted Applications

Each grants.gov application submitted to the DOE Office of Science (SC) automatically transfers into PAMS and is subsequently assigned to a program manager. At the time of program manager assignment, the three people listed on the SF-424 (R&R) cover page will receive an email with the subject line, “Receipt of Proposal 0000xxxxxx by the DOE Office of Science.” These three people are the Principal Investigator (Block 14), Authorized Representative (Block 19), and Point of Contact (Block 5). In PAMS notation, applications are known as proposals, the Principal Investigator is known as the PI, the Authorized Representative is known as the Sponsored Research Officer/Business Officer/Administrative Officer (SRO/BO/AO), and the Point of Contact is known as the POC.

There will be a period of time between the application’s receipt at grants.gov and its assignment to a DOE Office of Science program manager. Program managers are typically assigned two weeks after applications are due at grants.gov: please refrain from attempting to view the proposal in PAMS until you receive an email providing the assignment of a program manager.

Once the email is sent, the PI, SRO/BO/PO, and POC will each be able to view the submitted proposal in PAMS. Viewing the proposal is optional.

You may use the Internet Explorer, Firefox, Google Chrome, or Safari browsers to access PAMS.

Following are two sets of instructions for viewing the submitted proposal, one for individuals who already have PAMS accounts and one for those who do not.

If you already have a PAMS account, follow these instructions:

1. Log in to PAMS at <https://pamspublic.science.energy.gov/>.
2. Click the “Proposals” tab and click “Access Previously Submitted Grants.gov Proposal.”
3. Enter the following information:
  - Proposal ID: Enter the ten-digit PAMS proposal ID, including the leading zeros (e.g., 00002xxxxx). Do not use the grants.gov proposal number. Use the PAMS number

previously sent to you in the email with subject line, "Receipt of Proposal ...".

- Email (as entered in grants.gov application): Enter your email address as it appears on the SF424(R&R) Cover Page.
  - Choose Role: Select the radio button in front of the role corresponding to the SF-424 (R&R) cover page. If your name appears in block 19 of the SF-424 (R&R) cover page as the authorizing representative, select "SRO/BO/AO (Sponsored Research Officer/Business Officer/Administrative Officer)." If your name appears in block 14 of the SF424 R&R cover page as the PI, select "Principal Investigator (PI)." If your name appears in block 5 of the SF424 R&R as the point of contact, select "Other (POC)."
4. Click the "Save and Continue" button. You will be taken to your "My Proposals" page. The grants.gov proposal will now appear in your list of proposals. Click the "Actions/Views" link in the options column next to this proposal to obtain a dropdown list. Select "Proposal" from the dropdown to see the proposal. Note that the steps above will work only for proposals submitted to the DOE Office of Science since May 2012.

If you do not already have a PAMS account, follow these instructions:

1. To register, click the "Create New PAMS Account" link on the website <https://pamspublic.science.energy.gov/>.
2. Click the "No, I have never had an account" link and then the "Create Account" button.
3. You will be prompted to enter your name and email address, create a username and password, and select a security question and answer. Once you have done this, click the "Save and Continue" button.
4. On the next page, enter the required information (at least one phone number and your mailing address) and any optional information you wish to provide (e.g., FAX number, website, mailstop code, additional email addresses or phone numbers, Division/Department). Click the "Create Account" button.
5. Read the user agreement and click the "Accept" button to indicate that you understand your responsibilities and agree to comply with the rules of behavior for PAMS.
6. You will be taken to the Register to Institution page. Select the link labeled, "Option 1: My institution has submitted a proposal in grants.gov. I am here to register as an SRO, PI, or POC (Sponsored Research Officer, Principal Investigator, or Point of Contact)."
7. Enter the following information:
  - Proposal ID: Enter the ten-digit PAMS proposal ID, including the leading zeros (e.g., 00002xxxxx). Do not use the grants.gov proposal number. Use the PAMS number previously sent to you in the email with subject line, "Receipt of Proposal ...".
  - Email (as entered in grants.gov proposal): Enter your email address as it appears on the SF424(R&R) Cover Page.
  - Choose Role: Select the radio button in front of the role corresponding to the SF-424 (R&R) cover page. If your name appears in block 19 of the SF-424 (R&R) cover page as the authorizing representative, select "SRO/BO/AO (Sponsored Research Officer/Business Officer/Administrative Officer)." If your name appears in block 14 of the SF424 R&R cover page as the PI, select "Principal Investigator (PI)." If your name appears in block 5 of the SF424 R&R as the point of contact, select "Other (POC)."
8. Click the "Save and Continue" button. You will be taken to your "My Proposals" page. The grants.gov proposal will now appear in your list of proposals. Click the "Actions/Views" link in the options column next to this proposal to obtain a dropdown list. Select "Proposal" from

the dropdown to see the proposal.

If you were listed as the PI on a prior submission but you have not previously created an account, you may already be listed in PAMS. If this is the case, you will be taken to the PAMS home page after agreeing to the Rules of Behavior. If that happens, follow the instructions listed above under “If you already have a PAMS account...” to access your grants.gov proposal.

The steps above will work only for proposals submitted to the DOE Office of Science since May 2012.

For help with PAMS, click the “External User Guide” link on the PAMS website, <https://pamspublic.science.energy.gov/>. You may also contact the PAMS Help Desk, which can be reached Monday through Friday, 9 AM – 5:30 PM Eastern Time. Telephone: (855) 818-1846 (toll free) or (301) 903-9610, Email: [sc.pams-helpdesk@science.doe.gov](mailto:sc.pams-helpdesk@science.doe.gov). All submission and inquiries about this Funding Opportunity Announcement should reference **DE-FOA-0001531**.

## **Section V - APPLICATION REVIEW INFORMATION**

### **A. CRITERIA**

#### **1. Initial Review Criteria**

Prior to a comprehensive merit evaluation, DOE will perform an initial review in accordance with 10 CFR 605.10(b) to determine that (1) the applicant is eligible for the award; (2) the information required by the FOA has been submitted; (3) all mandatory requirements are satisfied; (4) the proposed project is responsive to the objectives of the funding opportunity announcement, and (5) the proposed project is not duplicative of programmatic work. Applications that fail to pass the initial review will not be forwarded for merit review and will be eliminated from further consideration.

#### **2. Merit Review Criteria**

Applications will be subjected to scientific merit review (peer review) and will be evaluated against the following criteria, listed in descending order of importance as found in 10 CFR 605.10 (d), the Office of Science Financial Assistance Program Rule.

- Scientific and/or Technical Merit of the Project;
- Appropriateness of the Proposed Method or Approach;
- Competency of Applicant's Personnel and Adequacy of Proposed Resources; and
- Reasonableness and Appropriateness of the Proposed Budget.
- Past results from DOE-funded research (if applicable)
- Additional Comments

The evaluation process will include program policy factors such as the relevance of the proposed research to the terms of the FOA and the agency's programmatic needs. Note that external peer reviewers are selected with regard to both their scientific expertise and the absence of conflict-of-interest issues. Both Federal and non-Federal reviewers may be used, and submission of an application constitutes agreement that this is acceptable to the investigator(s) and the submitting institution.

The questions below are provided to the merit reviewers to elaborate the criteria established by regulation:

#### **SCIENTIFIC AND/OR TECHNICAL MERIT OF THE PROPOSED RESEARCH**

- What is the scientific innovation of proposed research?
- What is the likelihood of achieving valuable results?
- How might the results of the proposed work impact the direction, progress, and thinking in relevant scientific fields of research?
- How does the proposed work compare with other efforts in its field, both in terms of scientific and/or technical merit and originality?
- Is the Data Management Plan suitable for the proposed research and to what extent does it

support the validation of research results?

- Is the Software Productivity and Sustainability Plan suitable for the proposed research?

#### APPROPRIATENESS OF THE PROPOSED METHOD OR APPROACH

- How logical and feasible are the research approaches?
- Does the proposed research employ innovative concepts or methods?
- Are the conceptual framework, methods, and analyses well justified, adequately developed, and likely to lead to scientifically valid conclusions?
- Does the applicant recognize significant potential problems and consider alternative strategies?

#### COMPETENCY OF APPLICANT'S PERSONNEL AND ADEQUACY OF PROPOSED RESOURCES

- What is the past performance and potential of the Principal Investigator (PI)?
- How well qualified is the research team to carry out the proposed research?
- Are the research environment and facilities adequate for performing the research?
- Does the proposed work take advantage of unique facilities and capabilities?

#### REASONABLENESS AND APPROPRIATENESS OF THE PROPOSED BUDGET

- Are the proposed budget and staffing levels adequate to carry out the proposed research?
- Is the budget reasonable and appropriate for the scope?

#### PROGRESS FROM DOE-FUNDED RESEARCH

- Rate applicants' performance – scientific quality and productivity – on previous DOE-funded research (particularly for the modeling programs funded by ESM, RGCM, or IAR Programs)

#### ADDITIONAL COMMENTS

- In summary, what are the most compelling strengths and weaknesses of the proposed effort?

## **B. REVIEW AND SELECTION PROCESS**

### **1. Merit Review**

Applications that pass the initial review will be subjected to a formal merit review and will be evaluated based on the criteria codified at 10 CFR 605.10(d) in accordance with the guidance provided in the “Office of Science Merit Review System for Financial Assistance,” which is available at: <http://science.energy.gov/grants/policy-and-guidance/merit-review-system/>.

### **2. Selection**

The Selection Officials will consider the following items, listed in no order of significance:

- Scientific and technical merit of the proposed activity as determined by merit review

- Availability of funds
- Relevance of the proposed activity to Office of Science priorities
- Ensuring an appropriate balance of activities within Office of Science programs
- Previous performance

### **3. Review of Risk**

Pursuant to 2 CFR 200.205, DOE will conduct an additional review of the risk posed by applications submitted under this FOA. Such review of risk will include:

- Technical merit of the application,
- Reports and findings from audits performed under 2 CFR 200 or OMB Circular A-133, and
- Systems maintained under 2 CFR 180, including the SAM “Exclusions” and “Do Not Pay” systems.

DOE may make use of other publicly available information and the history of an applicant’s performance under DOE or other Federal agency awards.

Applicants with no prior performance of DOE awards may be asked to provide information about their financial stability and or their ability to comply with the management standards of 2 CFR 200.

### **4. Discussions and Award**

The Government may enter into discussions with a selected applicant for any reason deemed necessary, including but not limited to the following: (1) the budget is not appropriate or reasonable for the requirement; (2) only a portion of the application is selected for award; (3) the Government needs additional information to determine that the recipient is capable of complying with the requirements in 2 CFR 200 as modified by 2 CFR 910 (DOE Financial Assistance Regulation); and/or (4) special terms and conditions are required. Failure to resolve satisfactorily the issues identified by the Government will preclude award to the applicant.

### **C. ANTICIPATED NOTICE OF SELECTION AND AWARD DATES**

It is anticipated that the award selection will be completed by 06/15/2016. It is expected that awards will be made in Fiscal Year 2016.

DOE is interested in seeing projects supported under this FOA begin work by September 2016.

## **Section VI - AWARD ADMINISTRATION INFORMATION**

### **A. AWARD NOTICES**

#### **1. Notice of Selection**

**Selected Applicants Notification:** DOE will notify applicants selected for award. This notice of selection is not an authorization to begin performance. (See Part IV.G with respect to the allowability of pre-award costs.)

**Non-selected Notification:** Organizations whose applications have not been selected will be advised as promptly as possible. This notice will explain why the application was not selected.

#### **2. Notice of Award**

An Assistance Agreement issued by the contracting officer is the authorizing award document. It normally includes, either as an attachment or by reference, the following items: (1) Special Terms and Conditions; (2) Applicable program regulations, if any; (3) Application as approved by DOE; (4) 2 CFR 200 as modified by 2 CFR 910 (DOE Financial Assistance Regulation); (5) National Policy Assurances To Be Incorporated As Award Terms; (6) Budget Summary; and (7) Federal Assistance Reporting Checklist, which identifies the reporting requirements.

For grants and cooperative agreements made to universities, non-profits and other entities subject to Title 2 CFR, awards made under this funding opportunity should include the government-wide Research Terms and Conditions. A new version of the Terms and Conditions based on the changes to 2 CFR 200 is not yet available. Once the Terms and Conditions become available, they will be located at <http://www.nsf.gov/bfa/dias/policy/rtc/index.jsp>. If an award is made under this funding opportunity before the Terms and Conditions are posted, alternative Terms and Conditions may be included in the award.

### **B. ADMINISTRATIVE AND NATIONAL POLICY REQUIREMENTS**

#### **1. Administrative Requirements**

The administrative requirements for DOE grants and cooperative agreements are contained in 2 CFR 200 as modified by 2 CFR 910 (DOE Financial Assistance Regulation).

For grants and cooperative agreements made to universities, non-profits and other entities subject to Title 2 CFR, awards made under this funding opportunity should include the government-wide Research Terms and Conditions. A new version of the Terms and Conditions based on the changes to 2 CFR 200 is not yet available. Once the Terms and Conditions become available, they will be located at <http://www.nsf.gov/bfa/dias/policy/rtc/index.jsp>. If an award is made under this funding opportunity before the Terms and Conditions are posted, alternative Terms and Conditions may be included in the award.

## NONDISCLOSURE AND CONFIDENTIALITY AGREEMENTS REPRESENTATIONS (JUNE 2015)

In submitting an application in response to this FOA the Applicant represents that:

(1) It **does not and will not** require its employees or contractors to sign internal nondisclosure or confidentiality agreements or statements prohibiting or otherwise restricting its employees or contractors from lawfully reporting waste, fraud, or abuse to a designated investigative or law enforcement representative of a Federal department or agency authorized to receive such information.

(2) It **does not and will not** use any Federal funds to implement or enforce any nondisclosure and/or confidentiality policy, form, or agreement it uses unless it contains the following provisions:

a. *“These provisions are consistent with and do not supersede, conflict with, or otherwise alter the employee obligations, rights, or liabilities created by existing statute or Executive order relating to (1) classified information, (2) communications to Congress, (3) the reporting to an Inspector General of a violation of any law, rule, or regulation, or mismanagement, a gross waste of funds, an abuse of authority, or a substantial and specific danger to public health or safety, or (4) any other whistleblower protection. The definitions, requirements, obligations, rights, sanctions, and liabilities created by controlling Executive orders and statutory provisions are incorporated into this agreement and are controlling.”*

b. The limitation above shall not contravene requirements applicable to Standard Form 312, Form 4414, or any other form issued by a Federal department or agency governing the nondisclosure of classified information.

c. Notwithstanding provision listed in paragraph (a), a nondisclosure or confidentiality policy form or agreement that is to be executed by a person connected with the conduct of an intelligence or intelligence-related activity, other than an employee or officer of the United States Government, may contain provisions appropriate to the particular activity for which such document is to be used. Such form or agreement shall, at a minimum, require that the person will not disclose any classified information received in the course of such activity unless specifically authorized to do so by the United States Government. Such nondisclosure or confidentiality forms shall also make it clear that they do not bar disclosures to Congress, or to an authorized official of an executive agency or the Department of Justice, that are essential to reporting a substantial violation of law.

## REGISTRATION REQUIREMENTS

Additional administrative requirements for DOE grants and cooperative agreements are contained in 2 CFR 25 (See: <http://www.ecfr.gov>). Prime awardees must keep their data at the System for Award Management (SAM) current at <http://www.sam.gov>. SAM is the government-wide system that replaced the Central Contractor Registry (CCR). If you had an active registration in the CCR, you have an active registration in SAM. Subawardees at all tiers must obtain DUNS numbers and provide the DUNS to the prime awardee before the subaward can be issued.

## SUBAWARD AND EXECUTIVE REPORTING

Additional administrative requirements necessary for DOE grants and cooperative agreements to comply with the Federal Funding and Transparency Act of 2006 (FFATA) are contained in 2 CFR 170. (See: <http://www.ecfr.gov>). Prime awardees must register with the new FSRS database and report the required data on their first tier subawardees. Prime awardees must report the executive compensation for their own executives as part of their registration profile in the System for Award Management (SAM).

## PROHIBITION ON LOBBYING ACTIVITY

By accepting funds under this award, you agree that none of the funds obligated on the award shall be expended, directly or indirectly, to influence congressional action on any legislation or appropriation matters pending before Congress, other than to communicate to Members of Congress as described in 18 USC 1913. This restriction is in addition to those prescribed elsewhere in statute and regulation.

### **2. Terms and Conditions**

The DOE Special Terms and Conditions for Use in Most Grants and Cooperative Agreements are located at <http://energy.gov/management/office-management/operational-management/financial-assistance/financial-assistance-forms> under Award Terms.

The standard DOE financial assistance intellectual property provisions applicable to various types of recipients are located at: <http://energy.gov/gc/standard-intellectual-property-ip-provisions-financial-assistance-awards>

### **3. National Policy Assurances**

The National Policy Assurances To Be Incorporated As Award Terms are located at <http://energy.gov/management/office-management/operational-management/financial-assistance/financial-assistance-forms> under Award Terms.

### **4. Statement of Substantial Involvement**

Cooperative agreement will be awarded under this FOA. The DOE contract specialist and DOE project officer will negotiate a Statement of Substantial Involvement prior to award.

### **5. Additional Conditions**

#### CONFERENCE SPENDING (FEBRUARY 2015)

The recipient shall not expend any funds on a conference not directly and programmatically related to the purpose for which the grant or cooperative agreement was awarded that would defray the cost to the United States Government of a conference held by any Executive branch department, agency, board, commission, or office for which the cost to the United States

Government would otherwise exceed \$20,000, thereby circumventing the required notification by the head of any such Executive Branch department, agency, board, commission, or office to the Inspector General (or senior ethics official for any entity without an Inspector General), of the date, location, and number of employees attending such conference.

#### CORPORATE FELONY CONVICTION AND FEDERAL TAX LIABILITY REPRESENTATIONS (MARCH 2014)

In submitting an application in response to this FOA the Applicant represents that:

- It is **not** a corporation that has been convicted of a felony criminal violation under any Federal law within the preceding 24 months,
- It is **not** a corporation that has any unpaid Federal tax liability that has been assessed, for which all judicial and administrative remedies have been exhausted or have lapsed, and that is not being paid in a timely manner pursuant to an agreement with the authority responsible for collecting the tax liability.

For purposes of these representations the following definitions apply:

- A Corporation includes any entity that has filed articles of incorporation in any of the 50 states, the District of Columbia, or the various territories of the United States [but not foreign corporations]. It includes both for-profit and non-profit organizations.

#### PUBLICATIONS

The recipient is expected to publish or otherwise make publicly available the results of the work conducted under any award resulting from this Funding Opportunity Announcement. Publications and other methods of public communication describing any work based on or developed under an award resulting from this Funding Opportunity Announcement must contain an acknowledgment of DOE Office of Science support. The format for such acknowledgments is provided at <http://science.energy.gov/funding-opportunities/acknowledgements/>. The author's copy of any peer-reviewed manuscript accepted for funding must be announced to DOE's Office of Scientific and Technical Information and made publicly available in accordance with the instructions contained in the Reporting Requirements Checklist incorporated in all Assistance Agreements.

#### C. REPORTING

Reporting requirements are identified on the Federal Assistance Reporting Checklist, DOE F 4600.2, attached to the award agreement. The checklist is available at <http://energy.gov/management/office-management/operational-management/financial-assistance/financial-assistance-forms> under Award Forms.

## **Section VII - QUESTIONS/AGENCY CONTACTS**

### **A. QUESTIONS**

Questions relating to the grants.gov registration process, system requirements, how an application form works, or the submittal process must be directed to grants.gov at 1-800-518-4726 or [support@grants.gov](mailto:support@grants.gov). DOE cannot answer these questions.

Please only contact the grants.gov help desk for questions related to grants.gov.

For help with PAMS, click the “External User Guide” link on the PAMS website, <https://pamspublic.science.energy.gov/>. You may also contact the PAMS Help Desk, which can be reached Monday through Friday, 9AM – 5:30 PM Eastern Time. Telephone: (855) 818-1846 (toll free) or (301) 903-9610, Email: [sc.pams-helpdesk@science.doe.gov](mailto:sc.pams-helpdesk@science.doe.gov). All submission and inquiries about this Funding Opportunity Announcement should reference **DE-FOA-0001531**.

Please contact the PAMS help desk for technological issues with the PAMS system.

Questions regarding the specific program areas and technical requirements may be directed to the technical contacts listed for each program within the FOA or below.

Please contact the program staff with all questions not directly related to the grants.gov or PAMS systems.

### **B. AGENCY CONTACTS**

Grants.gov Customer Support	800-518-4726 (toll-free) <a href="mailto:support@grants.gov">support@grants.gov</a>
PAMS Customer Support	855-818-1846 (toll-free) 301-903-9610 <a href="mailto:sc.pams-helpdesk@science.doe.gov">sc.pams-helpdesk@science.doe.gov</a>
Program Manager Scientific Contact	Dr. Renu Joseph 301-903-9237 <a href="mailto:Renu.Joseph@science.doe.gov">Renu.Joseph@science.doe.gov</a>  Mr. Robert Vallario 301-903-5758 <a href="mailto:Bob.Vallario@science.doe.gov">Bob.Vallario@science.doe.gov</a>

## **Section VIII - OTHER INFORMATION**

### **A. MODIFICATIONS**

Notices of any modifications to this FOA will be posted on grants.gov and the FedConnect portal. You can receive an email when a modification or an FOA message is posted by registering with FedConnect as an interested party for this FOA. It is recommended that you register as soon after release of the FOA as possible to ensure you receive timely notice of any modifications or other FOAs. More information is available at <http://www.fedconnect.net>.

### **B. GOVERNMENT RIGHT TO REJECT OR NEGOTIATE**

DOE reserves the right, without qualification, to reject any or all applications received in response to this FOA and to select any application, in whole or in part, as a basis for negotiation and/or award.

### **C. COMMITMENT OF PUBLIC FUNDS**

(a) A DOE financial assistance award is valid only if it is in writing and is signed, either in writing or electronically, by a DOE Contracting Officer.

(b) Recipients are free to accept or reject the award. A request to draw down DOE funds constitutes the Recipient's acceptance of the terms and conditions of this Award.

### **D. PROPRIETARY APPLICATION INFORMATION**

Patentable ideas, trade secrets, proprietary or confidential commercial or financial information, disclosure of which may harm the applicant, should be included in an application only when such information is necessary to convey an understanding of the proposed project. The use and disclosure of such data may be restricted, provided the applicant includes the following legend on the first page of the project narrative and specifies the pages of the application which are to be restricted:

“The data contained in pages \_\_\_\_\_ of this application have been submitted in confidence and contain trade secrets or proprietary information, and such data shall be used or disclosed only for evaluation purposes, provided that if this applicant receives an award as a result of or in connection with the submission of this application, DOE shall have the right to use or disclose the data herein to the extent provided in the award. This restriction does not limit the government’s right to use or disclose data obtained without restriction from any source, including the applicant.”

To protect such data, each line or paragraph on the pages containing such data must be specifically identified and marked with a legend similar to the following:

“The following contains proprietary information that (name of applicant) requests not be released to persons outside the Government, except for purposes of review and evaluation.”

## **E. EVALUATION AND ADMINISTRATION BY NON-FEDERAL PERSONNEL**

In conducting the merit review evaluation, the Government may seek the advice of qualified non-Federal personnel as reviewers. The Government may also use non-Federal personnel to conduct routine, nondiscretionary administrative activities. The applicant, by submitting its application, consents to the use of non-Federal reviewers/administrators. Non-Federal reviewers must sign conflict of interest agreement prior to reviewing an application. Non-Federal personnel conducting administrative activities must sign a non-disclosure agreement.

## **F. INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY DEVELOPED UNDER THIS PROGRAM**

**Patent Rights:** The government will have certain statutory rights in an invention that is conceived or first actually reduced to practice under a DOE award. 42 USC 5908 provides that title to such inventions vests in the United States, except where 35 USC 202 provides otherwise for nonprofit organizations or small business firms. However, the Secretary of Energy may waive all or any part of the rights of the United States subject to certain conditions. (See “Notice of Right to Request Patent Waiver” in paragraph G below.)

**Rights in Technical Data:** Normally, the government has unlimited rights in technical data created under a DOE agreement. Delivery or third party licensing of proprietary software or data developed solely at private expense will not normally be required except as specifically negotiated in a particular agreement to satisfy DOE’s own needs or to insure the commercialization of technology developed under a DOE agreement.

## **G. NOTICE OF RIGHT TO REQUEST PATENT WAIVER**

Applicants may request a waiver of all or any part of the rights of the United States in inventions conceived or first actually reduced to practice in performance of an agreement as a result of this FOA, in advance of or within 30 days after the effective date of the award. Even if such advance waiver is not requested or the request is denied, the recipient will have a continuing right under the award to request a waiver of the rights of the United States in identified inventions, i.e., individual inventions conceived or first actually reduced to practice in performance of the award. Any patent waiver that may be granted is subject to certain terms and conditions in 10 CFR 784. For more information, see <http://energy.gov/gc/services/technology-transfer-and-procurement/office-assistant-general-counsel-technology-transf-1>

Domestic small businesses and domestic nonprofit organizations will receive the patent rights clause at 37 CFR 401.14, i.e., the implementation of the Bayh-Dole Act. This clause permits domestic small business and domestic nonprofit organizations to retain title to subject inventions. Therefore, small businesses and nonprofit organizations do not need to request a waiver.

## **H. NOTICE REGARDING ELIGIBLE/INELIGIBLE ACTIVITIES**

Eligible activities under this program include those which describe and promote the understanding of scientific and technical aspects of specific energy technologies, but not those

which encourage or support political activities such as the collection and dissemination of information related to potential, planned or pending legislation.

## **I. AVAILABILITY OF FUNDS**

Funds are not presently available for this award. The Government's obligation under this award is contingent upon the availability of appropriated funds from which payment for award purposes can be made. No legal liability on the part of the Government for any payment may arise until funds are made available to the contracting officer for this award and until the awardee receives notice of such availability, to be confirmed in writing by the contracting officer.

## **Section IX - APPENDICES/REFERENCE MATERIAL**

### **Glossary of Useful Grants and Cooperative Agreement terms**

<b>acquisition cost</b>	The cost of an asset, including the cost to put it in place. When used with equipment (capital expenditure), the term means the net invoice price of property or supplies including cost of modifications, attachments, accessories, or auxiliary apparatus necessary to make the property usable for the purpose for which it was acquired. Other charges, such as the cost of installation, transportation, taxes, duty, or protective in-transit insurance, are included or excluded from the unit acquisition cost in accordance with the recipient's regular accounting practices. It does not include costs for rental of property or alteration and rental of real property.
<b>administrative requirements</b>	The general business management practices that are common to the administration of all grants, such as financial accountability, reporting, equipment management, and retention of records.
<b>allocation</b>	The process of assigning costs to one or more cost objectives, in reasonable and realistic proportion to the benefit provided or other equitable relationship.
<b>allocability</b>	The principle which requires that an expense or service charged must directly benefit and be necessary for the performance of the project; when multiple projects are benefited reasonable proportions must be able to be assigned.
<b>allowable cost</b>	A cost incurred by a recipient that is: (1) reasonable for the performance of the award; (2) allocable; (3) in conformance with any limitations or exclusions set forth in the Federal cost principles applicable to the organization incurring the cost or in the award documents as to the type or amount of cost; (4) consistent with regulations, policies, and procedures of the recipient that are applied uniformly to both federally supported and other activities of the organization; (5) accorded consistent treatment as a direct or indirect cost; (6) determined in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles; and (7) not included as a cost in any other federally supported award (unless specifically authorized by statute).
<b>application</b>	A request for financial support of a project or activity submitted to DOE on specified forms and in accordance with DOE instructions. Also known as a proposal
<b>Appropriation Act</b>	The statute that provides the authority for Federal agencies to incur obligations to and make payments out of the U.S. treasury for specified purposes.
<b>approved budget</b>	The financial expenditure plan for the grant-supported project or activity, including revisions approved by DOE and permissible revisions made by the grantee. The approved budget consists of Federal (grant) funds and, if required by the terms and conditions of the award, non-Federal participation in the form of matching or cost sharing. The approved budget specified in the award documents may be shown in detailed budget categories or as total costs without a categorical breakout. Expenditures charged to an approved budget that consists of both Federal and non-Federal shares are deemed to be borne by the grantee in the same proportion as the percentage of Federal/non-Federal participation in the overall budget.
<b>assurance</b>	A certification by an applicant, normally included with the application or State plan, indicating that the entity is in compliance with, or that it will abide by, a particular requirement if awarded a Federal grant.
<b>authorized organizational representative award</b>	The individual, named by the applicant organization, who is authorized to act for the applicant and to assume the obligations imposed by the Federal laws, regulations, requirements, and conditions that apply to grant applications or grant awards. The provision of funds by DOE, based on an approved application and budget or progress report, to an organizational entity or an individual to carry out a project or activity.
<b>award documents</b>	The entirety of the documents describing the legal relationship between DOE and an awardee or recipient. The award documents include an Assistance Agreement and other documents which may be incorporated by reference or as attachments to the Assistance

Agreement. The award documents are the official, legally binding document, signed (or the electronic equivalent of signature) by a contracting officer that:

- notifies the recipient of the award of a grant;
- contains or references all the terms and conditions of the grant and Federal funding limits and obligations; and,
- provides the documentary basis for recording the obligation of Federal funds in the DOE accounting system.

<b>Bayh-Dole Act</b>	Law which encourages universities and researchers to develop their inventions into marketable products; formal citation is Section 6 of the Patent and Trademark Amendment of 1980, Pub. L 96-517
<b>budget</b>	An estimate of expenditures to be incurred in the performance of a proposed statement of work, or the financial plan or cost assessment for the grant proposal. The budget represents costs associated with project implementation.
<b>budget period</b>	The intervals of time (usually 12 months each) into which a project period is divided for budgetary and funding purposes.
<b>business officer</b>	The financial official of the grantee who has primary fiscal responsibility for the grant. Also known as authorized organizational representative.
<b>carryover</b>	Unobligated Federal funds remaining at the end of any budget period that, with the approval of the contracting officer or under an automatic authority, may be carried forward to another budget period to cover allowable costs of that budget period (whether as an offset or additional authorization). Obligated, but unliquidated, funds are not considered carryover.
<b>change in scope</b>	An activity whereby the objectives or specific aims identified in the approved grant application are significantly changed by the grantee after award. Contracting officer prior approval is required for a change in scope to be allowable under an award.
<b>closeout</b>	The process by which a Federal awarding agency determines that all applicable administrative actions and all required work under an award have been completed by the grantee and the Federal awarding agency.
<b>competitive segment</b>	The initial project period recommended for support or each extension of a project period resulting from a renewal award.
<b>conference (domestic or international)</b>	A symposium, seminar, workshop, or any other organized and formal meeting, whether conducted face-to-face or via the Internet, where individuals assemble (or meet virtually) to exchange information and views or explore or clarify a defined subject, problem, or area of knowledge, whether or not a published report results from such meeting.
<b>consortium or subaward agreement</b>	A formalized agreement whereby a research project is carried out by the grantee and one or more other organizations that are separate legal entities. Under the agreement, the grantee must perform a substantive role in the conduct of the planned research and not merely serve as a conduit of funds to another party or parties. These agreements typically involve a specific level of effort from the consortium organization's PD/PI and a categorical breakdown of costs, such as personnel, supplies, and other allowable expenses, including F&A costs. The relationship between the recipient and the collaborating organizations is considered a subaward relationship.
<b>consultant</b>	An individual who provides professional advice or services for a fee, but normally not as an employee of the engaging party. In unusual situations, an individual may be both a consultant and an employee of the same party, receiving compensation for some services as a consultant and for other work as a salaried employee. To prevent apparent or actual conflicts of interest, grantees and consultants must establish written guidelines indicating the conditions of payment of consulting fees. Consultants also include firms that provide professional advice or services.
<b>continuation application/award</b>	A financial assistance request (in the form of an application or progress report) or resulting award for a subsequent budget period within a previously approved project period for which a recipient does not have to compete with other applicants.
<b>contract</b>	An award instrument used to acquire from a non-federal party, by purchase, lease, or barter, property or services for the direct benefit or use of the Federal government. The

<b>Contract (or Grants Management) Officer</b>	<p>same term may be used to describe a vendor relationship between a recipient and another party under a grant (to acquire routine goods and services); however, the recipient may use subaward to describe the contract under a grant relationship.</p> <p>A DOE official responsible for the business management aspects of grants and cooperative agreements, including review, negotiation, award, and administration, and for the interpretation of grants administration policies and provisions. COs and GMOs are delegated the authority to obligate DOE to the expenditure of funds and permit changes to approved projects on behalf of DOE.</p>
<b>Contract (or Grants Management) Specialist</b>	<p>A DOE staff member who works with a contract or grants management officer and is assigned the day-to-day management of a portfolio of grants and/or cooperative agreements. These activities include, but are not limited to, evaluating grant applications for administrative content and compliance with statutes, regulations, and guidelines; negotiating grants; providing consultation and technical assistance to grantees; and administering grants after award.</p>
<b>cooperative agreement</b>	<p>A type of financial assistance used when there will be substantial Federal scientific or programmatic involvement. Substantial involvement means that, after award, scientific or program staff will assist, guide, coordinate, or participate in project activities.</p>
<b>cost principles</b>	<p>The government-wide principles, issued by OMB (or, in the case of commercial organizations, the Federal Acquisition Regulation [48 CFR 21], or, in the case of hospitals, 45 CFR 74, Appendix E, “Principles For Determining Costs Applicable to Research and Development Under Grants and Contracts with Hospitals”), on allowability and unallowability of costs under federally sponsored agreements. As of December 26, 2014, the cost principles will be consolidated in 2 CFR 200.</p>
<b>cost sharing</b>	<p>The portion of the costs of a project or program not borne by the sponsor; these could be grantee contributions or third-party in-kind contributions; costs used to satisfy cost sharing requirements are subject to the same policies governing allowability as other costs of the project. Research grants are generally not subject to cost sharing requirements. Also known as matching.</p>
<b>deadline</b>	<p>The published date and/or time that a grant application is to be either postmarked/mailed or electronically submitted to the funding agency.</p>
<b>debarment and suspension</b>	<p>The actions taken by a debarring official in accordance with OMB guidance at 2 CFR 180, “Non-procurement Debarment and Suspension,” to exclude a person or organization from participating in grants and other non-procurement awards government-wide. If debarred or suspended, the person or organization may not receive financial assistance (under a grant, cooperative agreement, or subaward, or contract under a grant) for a specified period of time. Debarments and suspensions carried out pursuant to 2 CFR 376 are distinct from post-award suspension action by an awarding agency.</p>
<b>direct costs</b>	<p>Costs that can be identified specifically with a particular sponsored project, an instructional activity, or any other institutional activity, or that can be directly assigned to such activities relatively easily with a high degree of accuracy.</p>
<b>disallowance</b>	<p>A charge to a grant that the Federal awarding agency determines to be unallowable in accordance with the applicable Federal cost principles or other terms and conditions contained in the award.</p>
<b>domestic organization</b>	<p>A public (including a State or other governmental agency) or private non-profit or for-profit organization that is located in the United States or its territories, is subject to U.S. laws, and assumes legal and financial accountability for awarded funds and for the performance of the grant-supported activities.</p>
<b>DUNS number</b>	<p>A nine-digit number established and assigned by Dun and Bradstreet to uniquely identify a business entity.</p>
<b>effort</b>	<p>The amount of time, usually expressed as a percentage of the total, which a faculty member or other employee spends on a sponsored project. No one is allowed to spend more than 100% total commitment on all academic activities, including grant-sponsored research, university-sponsored research, teaching, administration, advising and other contracted duties. Effort is indicated on the budget in units of person-months.</p>

<b>equipment</b>	An article of tangible nonexpendable personal property that has a useful life of more than 1 year and an acquisition cost per unit that equals or exceeds \$5,000 or the capitalization threshold established by the organization, whichever is less.
<b>expanded authorities</b>	Authorization to grantees under certain research grant mechanisms which waives the requirement for prior agency approval for specified actions related to awards. Example: 90-day preaward spending authority, no cost extensions for up to one additional year, and automatic carryover of unobligated funds from one budget period to the next. The expanded authorities are now contained in the standard terms and conditions for most research grants.
<b>expiration date</b>	Generally, the date signifying the end of the current project period, after which the grantee is not authorized to obligate grant funds.
<b>facilities and administrative costs</b>	Costs that are incurred by a grantee for common or joint objectives and that, therefore, cannot be identified specifically with a particular project or program. These costs also are known as indirect costs.
<b>Federal Financial Report</b>	Submitted on Standard Form (SF) 425, to indicate the status of awarded funds for the period covered. Frequency of reporting is specified in the Reporting Checklist provided as part of the award documents. Replaces the SF-269 Financial Status Report (FSR)
<b>financial assistance</b>	Transfer by DOE of money or property to an eligible entity to support or stimulate a public purpose authorized by statute.
<b>Financial Status Report</b>	See Federal Financial Report.
<b>foreign travel</b>	Foreign travel includes travel outside of the United States and its territories and possessions (Guam, American Samoa, Puerto Rico, the Virgin Islands, and the Canal Zone) and Canada. A trip is considered foreign travel for all legs of the itinerary if the traveler does not return to his or her post prior to departure for a foreign destination. Costs for foreign travel may be restricted by the language of a Funding Opportunity Announcement.
<b>funding opportunity announcement</b>	A publicly available document by which a Federal Agency makes known its intentions to award discretionary grants or cooperative agreements, usually as a result of competition for funds. Funding opportunity announcements may be known as program announcements, requests for applications, notices of funding availability, solicitations, or other names depending on the Agency and type of program. Funding opportunity announcements can be found at <a href="http://Grants.gov/FIND">Grants.gov/FIND</a> . An FOA may also be known as a solicitation.
<b>grant</b>	A financial assistance mechanism providing money, property, or both to an eligible entity to carry out an approved project or activity. A grant is used whenever DOE anticipates no substantial programmatic involvement with the recipient during performance of the financially assisted activities.
<b>grant-supported project or activity</b>	Those activities specified or described in a grant application or in a subsequent submission that are approved by DOE for funding, regardless of whether Federal funding constitutes all or only a portion of the financial support necessary to carry them out.
<b>grantee</b>	The organization or individual awarded a grant or cooperative agreement by DOE that is responsible and accountable for the use of the funds provided and for the performance of the grant-supported project or activity. The grantee is the entire legal entity even if a particular component is designated in award documents. The grantee is legally responsible and accountable to DOE for the performance and financial aspects of the grant-supported project or activity. Also known as awardee or recipient.
<b>Grants.gov</b>	Grants.gov ( <a href="http://www.grants.gov/">http://www.grants.gov/</a> ) has been designated by the Office of Management and Budget as the single access point for all grant programs offered by 26 Federal grant-making agencies. It provides a single interface for agencies to announce their grant opportunities and for all applicants to find and apply for those opportunities.
<b>indirect costs</b>	See facilities and administrative costs definition.
<b>institutional base salary</b>	The annual compensation paid by an organization for an employee's appointment, whether that individual's time is spent on research, teaching, patient care, or other activities. Base salary excludes any income that an individual may be permitted to earn

<b>matching or cost sharing</b>	outside of duties for the applicant/grantee organization. Base salary may not be increased as a result of replacing organizational salary funds with grant funds. The value of third-party in-kind contributions and the portion of the costs of a federally assisted project or program not borne by the Federal government. Matching or cost sharing may be required by statute or program regulation. Costs used to satisfy matching or cost-sharing requirements are subject to the same policies governing allowability as other costs under the approved budget.
<b>merit (or peer) review</b>	The process that involves the consistent application of standards and procedures that produce fair, equitable, and objective examinations of applications based on an evaluation of scientific or technical merit or other relevant aspects of the application. The review is performed by experts (reviewers) in the field of endeavor for which support is requested. Merit review is intended to provide guidance and to the DOE individuals responsible for making award decisions.
<b>monitoring</b>	A process whereby the programmatic and business management performance aspects of a grant are assessed by reviewing information gathered from various required reports, audits, site visits, and other sources.
<b>no-cost extension</b>	An extension of time to a project period and/or budget period to complete the work of the grant under that period, without additional Federal funds or competition.
<b>non-Federal share obligations</b>	When cost sharing or matching is required as a condition of an award, the portion of allowable project/program costs not borne by the Federal government. The amounts for which the recipient has made binding commitments for orders placed for property and services, contracts and subawards, and similar transactions during a funding period that will require payment during the same or a future period.
<b>OMB Circulars</b>	Government-wide guidance issued to Heads of Federal agencies by the Director of OMB. OMB Circulars directly pertinent to grants include the following: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• cost principles (OMB Circular A-21, OMB Circular A-87, and OMB Circular A-122);</li> <li>• uniform administrative requirements (OMB Circular A-102 and OMB Circular A-110);</li> <li>• audit requirements for non-profit organizations (OMB Circular A-133).</li> </ul> Some (but not all) of these OMB Circulars have been reissued in Title 2 of the Code of Federal Regulations. DOE administrative regulations are located in Title 10 of the Code of Federal Regulations.
<b>Other Significant Contributors</b>	Individuals who have committed to contribute to the scientific development or execution of the project, but are not committing any specified measurable effort (i.e., person months) to the project. These individuals are typically presented at “effort of zero person months” or “as needed.” Individuals with measurable effort may not be listed as Other Significant Contributors (OSCs). Consultants should be included if they meet this definition.
<b>participant</b>	Program participants are the recipients of service or training provided at a workshop, conference, seminar, symposium or other short-term instructional or information-sharing activity funded by an external grant or award, or the training beneficiaries of the project or program funded by an external grant or award. A participant is not involved in providing any deliverable to the grantee or a third party or would not be terminated or replaced for failure to perform.
<b>participant costs</b>	Costs used to pay program participants small stipends and reimbursement of travel costs or other out-of-pocket costs incurred to support attendance at a workshop, conference, seminar, symposium, or other short-term training or information-sharing activity.
<b>person months</b>	The metric for expressing the effort (amount of time) PD/PI(s), faculty and other senior/key personnel devote to a specific project. The effort is based on the type of appointment of the individual with the organization; e.g., calendar year, academic year, and/or summer term; and the organization’s definition of such. For instance, some institutions define the academic year as a 9-month appointment while others define it as a 10-month appointment.

<b>pre-application or pre-proposal</b>	<p>A brief outline or narrative of proposed work and sometimes budget, for informal review by a sponsor to determine whether a full application should be submitted. Three predominant reasons for requiring submission of a preliminary pre-application are:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Reduce the applicant’s unnecessary effort in proposal preparation when the chance of success is very small. This is particularly true of exploratory initiatives where the community senses that a major new direction is being identified, or competitions that will result in a small number of actual awards.</li> <li>• Increase the overall quality of the full submission.</li> <li>• Distill the number of applications that will be submitted to the agency and the number of anticipated reviewers needed to review.</li> </ul>
<b>pre-award costs</b>	Any cost incurred prior to the beginning date of the project period or the initial budget period of a competitive segment (under a multi-year award), in anticipation of the award and at the applicant’s own risk, for otherwise allowable costs.
<b>prior approval</b>	Written approval from the designated contracting officer required for specified post-award changes in the approved project or budget. Such approval must be obtained before undertaking the proposed activity or spending DOE funds
<b>Program Director/ Principal Investigator</b>	The individual(s) designated by the applicant organization to have the appropriate level of authority and responsibility to direct the project or program to be supported by the award. The applicant organization may designate multiple individuals as program directors/principal investigators (PD/PIs) who share the authority and responsibility for leading and directing the project, intellectually and logistically. When multiple PD/PIs are named, each is responsible and accountable to the applicant organization, or as appropriate, to a collaborating organization for the proper conduct of the project or program including the submission of all required reports. The presence of more than one PD/PI on an application or award diminishes neither the responsibility nor the accountability of any individual PD/PI.
<b>program income</b>	Program income is gross income earned by a research grant recipient from the activities, part or all of which are borne as a direct cost by the grant. Examples are fees for services performed under the grant, rental or usage fees charged for use of equipment purchased with grant funds, third party patient reimbursements for hospital or medical services paid from the grant, funds generated by the sale of commodities, such as cell lines or research animals developed from or paid for from the grant, and patent or copyright royalties.
<b>Program Manager</b>	The DOE official responsible for the programmatic, scientific, and/or technical aspects of a grant. The same role is filled by Program Directors, Program Officers, or Project Directors at other Federal agencies.
<b>progress report</b>	Periodic, frequently annual, report submitted by the grantee and used by DOE to assess progress and to determine whether to provide funding for the budget period subsequent to that covered by the report.
<b>project/performance site</b>	Location(s) of where the work described in the research plan will be conducted.
<b>project period</b>	The total time for which Federal support of a project has been programmatically approved as shown in the award documents; however, it does not constitute a commitment by the Federal government to fund the entire period. The total project period comprises the initial competitive segment, any subsequent competitive segments resulting from a renewal award(s), and extensions.
<b>proposal re-budgeting</b>	See application. Reallocation of funds available for spending between budget categories to allow best use of funds to accomplish the project goals.
<b>recipient renewal application</b>	The organizational entity or individual receiving a grant or cooperative agreement. An application requesting additional funding for a period subsequent to that provided by a current award. Renewal applications compete for funds with all other peer reviewed applications and must be developed as fully as though the applicant is applying for the first time.
<b>research</b>	A systematic, intensive study intended to increase knowledge or understanding of the

	subject studied, a systematic study specifically directed toward applying new knowledge to meet a recognized need, or a systematic application of knowledge to the production of useful materials, devices, and systems or methods, including design, development, and improvement of prototypes and new processes to meet specific requirements. Also termed “research and development.”
<b>research misconduct</b>	Fabrication, falsification, plagiarism, or other practices that seriously deviate from those that are commonly accepted within the scientific community in proposing, performing, or reporting research, or in reporting research results; does not include honest error or honest differences in interpretations or judgments of data.
<b>SAM.gov</b>	The System for Award Management (SAM) is the Government-wide system that consolidated the Central Contractor Registration (CCR), the Excluded Parties List System (EPLS), the Online Representations and Certifications Application (ORCA), and the Federal Agency Registration (FedReg).
<b>scope of work</b>	The aims, objectives, and purposes of a grant; as well as the methodology, approach, analyses or other activities; and the tools, technologies, and timeframes needed to meet the grant’s objectives. This includes the research or training plan included with the original grant application, along with any approved modifications.
<b>Senior/Key Personnel</b>	The PD/PI and other individuals who contribute to the scientific development or execution of a project in a substantive, measurable way, whether or not they receive salaries or compensation under the grant. Typically these individuals have doctoral or other professional degrees, although individuals at the masters or baccalaureate level may be considered senior/key personnel if their involvement meets this definition. Consultants and those with a postdoctoral role also may be considered senior/key personnel if they meet this definition. “Zero percent” effort or “as needed” is not an acceptable level of involvement for Senior/Key Personnel.
<b>significant rebudgeting</b>	A threshold that is reached when expenditures in a single direct cost budget category deviate (increase or decrease) from the categorical commitment level established for the budget period by more than 25 percent of the total costs awarded. Significant rebudgeting is one indicator of change in scope.
<b>small business concern</b>	A business that is independently owned and operated and not dominant in its field of operation; has its principal place of business in the United States and is organized for profit; is at least 51 percent owned, or in the case of a publicly owned business, at least 51 percent of its voting stock is owned by U.S. citizens or lawfully admitted permanent resident aliens; has, including its affiliates, not more than 500 employees; and meets other regulatory requirements established by the SBA at 13 CFR 121.
<b>solicitation subaward</b>	See Funding Opportunity Announcement A legal instrument by which a recipient provides funds (or property in lieu of funds) to an eligible subrecipient (or a lower-tier transaction) to perform a substantive portion of the grant-supported program or project. The term includes such financial assistance when provided by any legal agreement (even if the agreement is called a contract) but does not include any form of assistance which is excluded from the definition of a grant, including the recipient’s procurement of property or services needed to carry out the project or program. The term includes consortium agreements.
<b>subrecipient</b>	A party that receives a subaward from a recipient or another subrecipient under a Federal financial assistance award and is accountable to the recipient or subrecipient for the use of the Federal funds provided by the subaward.
<b>supplement</b>	A request for an increase in support during a current budget period for expansion of the project’s scope or to meet increased costs unforeseen at the time of the new or renewal application. A supplement may increase support for future years in addition to the current year. Supplements require applications and are subject to administrative and merit review.
<b>terms and conditions of award</b>	All legal requirements imposed on a grant by DOE, whether based on statute, regulation, policy, or other document referenced in the grant award, or specified by the grant award document itself. The award documents may include both standard and special conditions that are considered necessary to attain the grant’s objectives, facilitate

	post-award administration of the grant, conserve grant funds, or otherwise protect the Federal government's interests.
<b>unallowable costs</b>	Specific categories of costs that cannot be charged, directly or indirectly, to federally sponsored agreements in accordance with federal regulations or the terms and conditions of the award.
<b>unliquidated obligation</b>	For reports prepared on a cash basis, the amount of obligations incurred by the recipient that has not been paid; or For reports prepared on an accrued expenditure basis, the amount of obligations incurred by the recipient for which an outlay has not been recorded.
<b>unobligated balance</b>	The portion of the funds authorized by the Federal agency for expenditure by the recipient that has not been obligated by the recipient.
<b>Validate</b>	In the context of the data management plan requirements, <i>validate</i> means to support, corroborate, verify, or otherwise determine the legitimacy of the research findings. Validation of research findings could be accomplished by reproducing the original experiment or analyses, comparing and contrasting the results against those of a news experiment or analyses, or by some other means.