

April 19, 2013

Dear Professor/Dr. xxxx;

Thank you for agreeing to serve on the Nuclear Structure and Nuclear Astrophysics subfield review panel of the Office of Nuclear Physics (NP) Comparative Research Review (CRR) chaired by Professor Shoji Nagamiya of RIKEN/KEK in Japan. This review will take place from May 20 through May 24, 2013 at the Gaithersburg Marriott Washingtonian Center, Gaithersburg, Maryland.

The review will focus mainly on a retrospective evaluation of the quality and scientific impact of NP supported research conducted between January 1, 2010 and April 30, 2013, and will cover presentations from approximately 27 university research groups and 5 national laboratory groups.

The effectiveness of the NP program relies on the quality of the research groups that it supports. Scientific excellence should therefore be the overriding consideration in the evaluation of the groups under review. Their creativity, innovation and productivity are also important aspects of this assessment. I would like the panel members to evaluate and compare each research group according to the following criteria, recognizing that the importance of each factor may vary somewhat between groups:

1. Significance and merit of the group's research, in the context of present and emerging research directions within nuclear physics.
2. Future prospects for achieving scientific excellence based on the group's past achievements and the vigor and focus of the group members.
3. Scientific productivity of each group, including any specific strengths and weaknesses.
4. Impact of the group's scientific research effort nationally and internationally.
5. Effectiveness of the group in training the next generation of scientists.
6. Particular strengths of each group, such as scientific leadership, technical leadership, development of innovative concepts or instruments, maintenance of unusual skills, or crucial inputs into collaborative efforts.

University and laboratory research groups have been asked to submit their briefing packages by May 6, 2013 and to orally present their research activities to this panel. In the near future you will receive an agenda, detailed guidance concerning this review, and instructions on how to access briefing packages. Members of the Nuclear Structure and Nuclear Astrophysics subfield review panel are listed in the enclosure. I have asked Dr. Kyungseon Joo to organize this review. Please feel free to contact him (Kyungseon.Joo@science.doe.gov, 301-903-4037) for assistance with the review process.

We realize participation in the panel review places an additional burden on you, and may adversely affect other important activities. Please be assured that we and the entire NP community are grateful for your willingness to assist with this important review.

You will be contacted by Ms. Brenda May regarding logistics of the review. If you have any questions, please email her at Brenda.May@science.doe.gov, or call 301-903-0536.

Sincerely,

Timothy Hallman
Associate Director for the Office of Science
for Nuclear Physics

April 19, 2013

Dear Professor/Dr. xxxx;

Thank you for agreeing to serve on the Heavy Ions subfield review panel of the Office of Nuclear Physics (NP) Comparative Research Review (CRR) chaired by Professor Shoji Nagamiya of RIKEN/KEK in Japan. This review will take place from May 28 through May 31, 2013 at the Gaithersburg Marriott Washingtonian Center, Gaithersburg, Maryland.

The review will focus mainly on a retrospective evaluation of the quality and scientific impact of NP supported research conducted between January 1, 2010, and April 30, 2013, and will cover presentations from approximately 28 university research groups and 5 national laboratory groups.

The effectiveness of the NP program relies on the quality of the research groups that it supports. Scientific excellence should therefore be the overriding consideration in the evaluation of the groups under review. Their creativity, innovation and productivity are also important aspects of this assessment. I would like the panel members to evaluate and compare each research group according to the following criteria, recognizing that the importance of each factor may vary somewhat between groups:

1. Significance and merit of the group's research, in the context of present and emerging research directions within nuclear physics.
2. Future prospects for achieving scientific excellence based on the group's past achievements and the vigor and focus of the group members.
3. Scientific productivity of each group, including any specific strengths and weaknesses.
4. Impact of the group's scientific research effort nationally and internationally.
5. Effectiveness of the group in training the next generation of scientists.
6. Particular strengths of each group, such as scientific leadership, technical leadership, development of innovative concepts or instruments, maintenance of unusual skills, or crucial inputs into collaborative efforts.

University and laboratory research groups have been asked to submit their briefing packages by May 6, 2013 and to orally present their research activities to this panel. In the near future you will receive an agenda, detailed guidance concerning this review, and instructions on how to access briefing packages. Members of the Heavy Ions subfield review panel are listed in the enclosure. I have asked Dr. Kyungseon Joo to organize this review. Please feel free to contact him (Kyungseon.Joo@science.doe.gov, 301-903-4037) for assistance with the review process.

We realize participation in the panel review places an additional burden on you, and may adversely affect other important activities. Please be assured that we and the entire NP community are grateful for your willingness to assist us with this important review.

You will be contacted by Ms. Brenda May regarding logistic of the review. If you have any questions, please email her at Brenda.May@science.doe.gov, or call 301-903-0536.

Sincerely,

Timothy Hallman
Associate Director for the Office of Science
for Nuclear Physics

April 19, 2013

Dear Professor/Dr. xxxx;

Thank you for agreeing to serve on the Medium Energy subfield review panel of the Office of Nuclear Physics (NP) Comparative Research Review (CRR) chaired by Professor Shoji Nagamiya of RIKEN/KEK in Japan. This review will take place from June 10 through June 14, 2013 at the Gaithersburg Marriott Washingtonian Center, Gaithersburg, Maryland.

The review will focus mainly on a retrospective evaluation of the quality and scientific impact of NP supported research conducted between January 1, 2010 and April 30, 2013, and will cover presentations from approximately 40 university research groups and 5 national laboratory groups.

The effectiveness of the NP program relies on the quality of the research groups that it supports. Scientific excellence should therefore be the overriding consideration in the evaluation of the groups under review. Their creativity, innovation and productivity are also important aspects of this assessment. I would like the panel members to evaluate and compare each research group according to the following criteria, recognizing that the importance of each factor may vary somewhat between groups:

1. Significance and merit of the group's research, in the context of present and emerging research directions within nuclear physics.
2. Future prospects for achieving scientific excellence based on the group's past achievements and the vigor and focus of the group members.
3. Scientific productivity of each group, including any specific strengths and weaknesses.
4. Impact of the group's scientific research effort nationally and internationally.
5. Effectiveness of the group in training the next generation of scientists.
6. Particular strengths of each group, such as scientific leadership, technical leadership, development of innovative concepts or instruments, maintenance of unusual skills, or crucial inputs into collaborative efforts.

University and laboratory research groups have been asked to submit their briefing packages by May 6, 2013 and to orally present their research activities to this panel. In the near future you will receive an agenda, detailed guidance concerning this review, and instructions on how to access briefing packages. Members of the Medium Energy subfield review panel are listed in the enclosure. I have asked Dr. Kyungseon Joo to organize this review. Please feel free to contact him (Kyungseon.Joo@science.doe.gov, 301-903-4037) for assistance with the review process.

We realize participation in the panel review places an additional burden on you, and may adversely affect other important activities. Please be assured that we and the entire NP community are grateful for your willingness to assist us with this important review.

You will be contacted by Ms. Brenda May regarding the logistics of the review. If you have any questions, please email her at Brenda.May@science.doe.gov, or call 301-903-0536.

Sincerely,

Timothy Hallman
Associate Director for the Office of Science
for Nuclear Physics

April 19, 2013

Dear Professor/Dr. xxxx;

Thank you for agreeing to serve on the Nuclear Theory subfield review panel of the Office of Nuclear Physics (NP) Comparative Research Review (CRR) chaired by Professor Shoji Nagamiya of RIKEN/KEK in Japan. This review will take place from June 17 through June 24, 2013 at the Gaithersburg Marriott Washingtonian Center, Gaithersburg, Maryland.

The review will focus mainly on a retrospective evaluation of the quality and scientific impact of NP supported research conducted between January 1, 2010 and April 30, 2013, and will cover presentations from approximately 57 university research groups and 7 national laboratory groups.

The effectiveness of the NP program relies on the quality of the research groups that it supports. Scientific excellence should therefore be the overriding consideration in the evaluation of the groups under review. Their creativity, innovation and productivity are also important aspects of this assessment. I would like the panel members to evaluate and compare each research group according to the following criteria, recognizing that the importance of each factor may vary somewhat between groups:

1. Significance and merit of the group's research, in the context of present and emerging research directions within nuclear physics.
2. Future prospects for achieving scientific excellence based on the group's past achievements and the vigor and focus of the group members.
3. Scientific productivity of each group, including any specific strengths and weaknesses.
4. Impact of the group's scientific research effort nationally and internationally.
5. Effectiveness of the group in training the next generation of scientists.
6. Particular strengths of each group, such as scientific leadership, technical leadership, development of innovative concepts or instruments, maintenance of unusual skills, or crucial inputs into collaborative efforts.

University and laboratory research groups have been asked to submit their briefing packages by May 6, 2013 and to orally present their research activities to this panel. In the near future you will receive an agenda, detailed guidance concerning this review, and instructions on how to access briefing packages. Members of the Nuclear Theory subfield review panel are listed in the enclosure. I have asked Dr. Kyungseon Joo to organize this review. Please feel free to contact him (Kyungseon.Joo@science.doe.gov, 301-903-4037) for assistance with the review process.

We realize participation in the panel review places an additional burden on you, and may adversely affect other important activities. Please be assured that we and the entire NP community are grateful for your willingness to assist us with this important review.

You will be contacted by Ms. Brenda May regarding the logistics of the review. If you have any questions, please email her at Brenda.May@science.doe.gov, or call 301-903-0536.

Sincerely,

Timothy Hallman
Associate Director for the Office of Science
for Nuclear Physics

April 19, 2013

Dear Professor/Dr. xxxx;

Thank you for agreeing to serve on the Fundamental Symmetries subfield review panel of the Office of Nuclear Physics (NP) Comparative Research Review (CRR) chaired by Professor Shoji Nagamiya of RIKEN/KEK in Japan. This review will take place from June 25 through June 28, 2013 at the Gaithersburg Marriott Washingtonian Center, Gaithersburg, Maryland.

The review will focus mainly on a retrospective evaluation of the quality and scientific impact of NP supported research conducted between January 1, 2010 and April 30, 2013, and will cover presentations from approximately 14 university research groups and 7 national laboratory groups.

The effectiveness of the NP program relies on the quality of the research groups that it supports. Scientific excellence should therefore be the overriding consideration in the evaluation of the groups under review. Their creativity, innovation and productivity are also important aspects of this assessment. I would like the panel members to evaluate and compare each research group according to the following criteria, recognizing that the importance of each factor may vary somewhat between groups:

1. Significance and merit of the group's research, in the context of present and emerging research directions within nuclear physics.
2. Future prospects for achieving scientific excellence based on the group's past achievements and the vigor and focus of the group members.
3. Scientific productivity of each group, including any specific strengths and weaknesses.
4. Impact of the group's scientific research effort nationally and internationally.
5. Effectiveness of the group in training the next generation of scientists.
6. Particular strengths of each group, such as scientific leadership, technical leadership, development of innovative concepts or instruments, maintenance of unusual skills, or crucial inputs into collaborative efforts.

University and laboratory research groups have been asked to submit their briefing packages by May 6, 2013 and to orally present their research activities to this panel. In the near future you will receive an agenda, detailed guidance concerning this review, and instructions on how to access briefing packages. Members of the Fundamental Symmetries subfield review panel are listed in the enclosure. I have asked Dr. Kyungseon Joo to organize this review. Please feel free to contact him (Kyungseon.Joo@science.doe.gov, 301-903-4037) for assistance with the review process.

We realize participation in the panel review places an additional burden on you, and may adversely affect other important activities. Please be assured that we and the entire NP community are grateful for your willingness to assist us with this important review.

You will be contacted by Ms. Brenda May regarding logistics of the review. If you have any questions, please email her at Brenda.May@science.doe.gov, or call 301-903-0536.

Sincerely,

Timothy Hallman
Associate Director for the Office of Science
for Nuclear Physics